Massively multiplex chemical transcriptomics at single-cell resolution

Sanjay R. Srivatsan^{1,2*}, José L. McFaline-Figueroa^{1*}, Vijay Ramani^{1,3*}, Lauren Saunders¹, Junyue Cao¹, Jonathan Packer¹, Hannah A. Pliner¹, Dana L. Jackson¹, Riza M. Daza¹, Lena Christiansen⁴, Fan Zhang⁴, Frank Steemers⁴, Jay Shendure^{1,5,6,7}†[‡], Cole Trapnell^{1,5,7}†[‡]

¹Department of Genome Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA. ²Medical Scientist Training Program, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA. ³Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA. ⁴Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA. ⁵Allen Discovery Center for Cell Lineage Tracing, Seattle, WA, USA. ⁶Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA. ⁷Brotman Baty Institute for Precision Medicine, Seattle, WA, USA.

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

‡Corresponding author. Email: shendure@uw.edu (J.S.); coletrap@uw.edu (C.T.)

High-throughput chemical screens typically employ coarse assays, *e.g.* cell survival, limiting what can be learned about mechanisms of action, off-target effects, and heterogeneous responses. Here we introduce sci-Plex, which uses 'nuclear hashing' to quantify global transcriptional responses to thousands of independent perturbations at single-cell resolution. As a proof-of-concept, we applied sci-Plex to screen 3 cancer cell lines exposed to 188 compounds. In total, we profiled ~650,000 single-cell transcriptomes across ~5,000 independent samples in one experiment. Our results reveal substantial intercellular heterogeneity in response to specific compounds, commonalities in response to families of compounds, and insight into differential properties within families. In particular, our results with HDAC inhibitors support the view that chromatin acts as an important reservoir of acetate in cancer cells.

High-throughput screens (HTS) are a cornerstone of the pharmaceutical drug discovery pipeline (1, 2). Conventional HTS have at least two major limitations. First, the readout of most HTS are restricted to gross cellular phenotypes, *e.g.* proliferation (3, 4), morphology (5, 6), or a highly specific molecular readout (7, 8). Subtle changes in cell state or gene expression, that might otherwise provide mechanistic insights or reveal off-target effects, are routinely missed.

Second, even when HTS are performed in conjunction with more comprehensive molecular phenotyping such as transcriptional profiling (9-12), a limitation of bulk assays is that even cells ostensibly of the same 'type' can exhibit heterogeneous responses (13, 14). Such cellular heterogeneity can be highly relevant in vivo. For example, it remains largely unknown whether the rare subpopulations of cells that survive chemotherapeutics are doing so on the basis of their genetic background, epigenetic state, or some other aspect (15, 16).

In principle, single-cell transcriptome sequencing (scRNA-seq) represents a form of high-content molecular phenotyping that could enable HTS to overcome both limitations. However, the per-sample and per-cell costs of most scRNA-seq technologies remain high, precluding

even modestly sized screens. Recently, several groups developed 'cellular hashing' methods, wherein cells from different samples are molecularly labeled and mixed prior to scRNA-seq. However, current hashing approaches require relatively expensive reagents (*e.g.* antibodies (17) or chemically modified DNA oligos (18, 19)), use cell type-dependent protocols (20), and/or employ scRNA-seq platforms with a high per-cell cost.

To enable cost-effective HTS with scRNA-seq-based phenotyping, we describe a novel sample labeling (hashing) strategy that relies on labeling nuclei with unmodified single-stranded DNA oligos. Recent improvements in single cell combinatorial indexing (sci-RNA-seq3) have lowered the cost of scRNA-seq library preparation to less than \$0.01 per cell, with millions of cells profiled per experiment (21). Here we combine nuclear hashing and sci-RNAseq into a single workflow for multiplex transcriptomics, termed 'sci-Plex'. As a proof-of-concept, we apply sci-Plex to perform a HTS of 3 cancer cell lines, profiling thousands of independent perturbations in a single experiment. We further explore how chemical transcriptomics at single cell resolution can shed light on mechanisms of action. Most notably, we find that gene regulatory changes consequent to treatment with HDAC inhibitors are consistent with the model that they interfere with proliferation by restricting a cell's ability to draw acetate from chromatin (22, 23).

Results

Nuclear hashing enables multi-sample sci-RNA-seq

Single-cell combinatorial indexing (sci-) methods use split-pool barcoding to uniquely label the molecular contents of large numbers of single cells or nuclei (24). Samples can be barcoded by these same indices, *e.g.* by placing each sample in its own well during reverse transcription in sci-RNA-seq (21, 25), but such enzymatic labeling at the scale of thousands of samples is operationally infeasible and cost-prohibitive. To enable single-cell molecular profiling of a large number of independent samples within a single sci- experiment, we set out to develop a low-cost labeling procedure.

We noticed that single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) specifically stained the nuclei of permeabilized cells, but not intact cells (Fig. 1A and fig. S1A). We therefore postulated that a polyadenylated ssDNA oligonucleotide could be used to label populations of nuclei in a manner compatible with sci-RNA-seq (Fig. 1B and fig. S1B). To test this concept, we performed a 'barnvard' experiment. Human (HEK293T) and mouse cells (NIH3T3) were each separately seeded to 48 wells of a 96well culture plate. We performed nuclear lysis in the presence of 96 well-specific polyadenylated ssDNA oligos ('hash oligos') and fixed the resulting nuclear suspensions with paraformaldehyde. Having labeled or 'hashed' the nuclei with a molecular barcode, we pooled nuclei and performed a 2-level sci-RNA-seq experiment. Because the hash oligos were polyadenylated, they had the potential to be combinatorially indexed identically to endogenous mRNAs. As intended, we recovered reads corresponding to both endogenous mRNAs (median 4,740 unique molecular identifiers (UMIs) per cell) and hash oligos (median 270 UMIs per cell).

We devised a statistical framework to identify the hash oligos associated with each cell at a frequency exceeding background (table S1). We observed 99.1% concordance between species assignments based on hash oligos vs. endogenous cellular transcriptomes (Fig. 1C and fig. S1, C to F). Additionally, the association of hash oligos and nuclei was stable to a freeze-thaw cycle, highlighting the opportunity to label and store samples (Fig. 1D and fig. S1, G and H). These results demonstrate that hash oligos stably label nuclei in a manner that is compatible with sci-RNA-seq.

In sci- experiments, 'collisions' are instances in which two or more cells are labeled with the same combination of barcodes by chance (24). To evaluate hashing as a means of detecting doublets resulting from collisions, we varied the number of nuclei loaded per PCR well, resulting in a range of predicted collision rates (7-23%) that was well matched by observation (fig. S1I). Hash oligos facilitated the identification of the vast majority of interspecies doublets (95.5%) and otherwise undetectable within-species doublets (Fig. 1E and fig. S1, J and K).

sci-Plex enables multiplex chemical transcriptomics at single-cell resolution

We next evaluated whether nuclear hashing could enable chemical screens, by labeling cells that had undergone a specific perturbation, followed by single-cell transcriptional profiling as a high-content phenotypic assay. We exposed A549, a human lung adenocarcinoma cell line, to one of four compounds dexamethasone (corticosteroid agonist), nutlin-3a (p53-Mdm2 antagonist), BMS-345541 (inhibitor of NF- κ Bdependent transcription), or vorinostat/SAHA (histone deacetylase inhibitor) for 24 hours, across 7 doses in triplicate for a total of 84 drug/dose/replicate combinations and additional vehicle controls (Fig. 2A and fig. S2A). Nuclei from each well were labeled and subjected to sci-RNA-seq2 (fig. S2, B to D, and table S1).

We used Monocle 3 (21) to visualize these data via Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (26) (UMAP) and louvain community detection to identify compound-specific clusters of cells, which were distributed in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 2, B and C, and fig. S2, E and F). To quantify the "population average" transcriptional response of A549 to each of the four drugs, we modeled each gene's expression as a function of dose through generalized linear regression. 7,561 genes were sensitive to at least one drug, and 3,189 genes were differentially expressed in response to multiple drugs (fig. S3A and table S2). These included canonical targets of dexamethasone (Fig. 2D) and nutlin-3a (Fig. 2E). Gene ontology analysis of differentially expressed genes revealed the involvement of drug-specific pathways (e.g. hormone signaling for dexamethasone; p53 signaling for nutlin-3a; fig. S3B). Additionally, we evaluated whether the number of cells recovered at each concentration could be used to infer toxicity akin to traditional screens. After fitting a response curve to the recovered cellular counts, we inferred a 'viability score' from sci-Plex data, a metric which was concordant with gold standard measurements (Fig. 2F and fig. S2, G to I).

sci-Plex scales to thousands of samples and enables HTS

To assess how sci-Plex scales for HTS, we performed a screen of 188 compounds targeting a diverse range of enzymes and molecular pathways (Fig. 3A). Half of this panel was chosen to target transcriptional and epigenetic regulators. The other half was chosen to sample diverse mechanisms of action. We exposed three well-characterized human cancer cell lines (A549 (lung adenocarcinoma), K562 (chronic myelogenous leukemia), MCF7 (mammary adenocarcinoma) to each of these 188 compounds at four doses (10 nM, 100 nM, 1 μ M, 10 μ M) in duplicate, randomizing compounds and doses across well positions in replicate culture plates (table S3). These

re-? to onent fupownloaded from http://science.sciencemag.org/ on December 5, 2019 rends s in cell difnetinct iled connib-RAS red, oxiiapwas res-

conditions, together with vehicle controls, accounted for 4,608 of 4,992 independently treated cell populations in this experiment. After treatment we lysed cells to expose nuclei, hashed them with a unique combination of two oligos (fig. S4A), and performed sci-RNA-seq3 (21). After sequencing and filtering based on hash purity (fig. S4, B to F), we obtained transcriptomes for 649,340 single cells, with median mRNA UMI counts of 1,271, 1,071, and 2,407 for A549, K562 and MCF7, respectively (fig. S5A). The aggregate expression profiles for each cell type were highly concordant between replicate wells (Pearson correlation = 0.99) (fig. S5B).

Visualizing sci-RNA-seq profiles separately for each cell line revealed compound-specific transcriptional responses and patterns that were common to multiple compounds. For each of the cell lines, UMAP projected most cells into a central mass, flanked by smaller clusters (Fig. 3B). These smaller clusters were largely comprised of cells treated with compounds from only one or two compound classes (figs. S6 and S7, A to C). For example, A549 cells treated with triamcinolone acetonide, a synthetic GR agonist, were markedly enriched in one such small cluster, comprising 95% of its cells (Fisher's exact test, FDR < 1%; fig. S7, D and E). Although many drugs were associated with a seemingly homogenous transcriptional response, we also identified cases in which distinct transcriptional states were induced by the same drug. For example, in A549, the microtubule stabilizing compounds epothilone A and epothilone B were associated with three such focal enrichments, each comprised of cells from both compounds at all 4 doses (fig. S7, F and G). The cells in each focus were distinct from one another, but transcriptionally similar to other treatments-either a recently identified microtubule destabilizer, rigosertib (27), the SETD8 inhibitor UNC0397, or untreated proliferating cells (fig. S7H).

We next assessed the effects of each drug on the 'population average' transcriptome of each cell line. In total, 6,238 genes were differentially expressed in a dose-dependent manner in at least one cell line (FDR < 5%; fig. S8 and tables S4 and S5). Bulk RNA-seq measurements collected for 5 compounds, across 4 doses and vehicle agreed with averaged gene expression values and estimated effect sizes across identically treated single cells, although correlations between small effect sizes were diminished (fig. S9). Moreover, sci-Plex dosedependent effect profiles correlated with compound matched L1000 measurements (11) (fig. S10).

Genes associated with the cell cycle were highly variable across individual cells, and many drugs reduced the fraction of cells that expressed proliferation marker genes (figs. S11 and S12). In principle, scRNA-seq should be able to distinguish shifts in the proportion of cells in distinct transcriptional states from gene regulatory changes within those states. In contrast, bulk transcriptome profiling would confound these two signals (fig. S13A) (14). We therefore tested for dose-dependent differential expression on subsets of cells corresponding to the same drug but expressing high vs. low levels of proliferation marker genes (fig. S13B). Correlation between the dose-dependent effects on the two fractions of each cell type varied across drug classes (fig. S13C), with some frankly discordant effects for individual compounds (fig. S13D). Viability analysis, performed as in the pilot experiment, revealed that after drug exposure at the highest dose, only 52 (27%) compounds caused a drop in viability of 50% or more (Fig. 3C and fig. S5C). Amongst the drugs that reduced viability, we observed a higher sensitivity of K562 to the Src/Abl inhibitor bosutinib (Fig. 3C), a result we confirmed via cell counting (fig. S14A). This result is consistent with K562 cells harboring a constitutively active BCR-ABL fusion kinase (28) and an observed increased sensitivity of hematopoietic and lymphoid cancer cell lines to Abl inhibitors (29) (fig. S14B).

To assess whether each compound elicited similar responses across the three cell lines, we clustered compounds using the effect sizes for dose-dependent genes as loadings in each cell line (figs. S15 to S18). Joint analysis of the three cell lines revealed common and cell type specific responses to different compounds (figs. S19 and S20). For example, trametinib, a MEK inhibitor, induced a transcriptionally distinct response in MCF7. Inspection of UMAP projections revealed trametinib-treated MCF7 interspersed amongst vehicle controls, reflecting limited effects. In contrast, trametinibtreated A549 and K562 cells, which harbor activating KRAS and ABL mutations (30), respectively, were tightly clustered, consistent with a strong, specific transcriptional response to inhibition of MEK signaling by trametenib (Fig. 3D). Further, we observed that these A549 and K562 cells appeared proximal to clusters enriched with inhibitors of HSP90, a key chaperone for protein folding (Fig. 3D). This observation was corroborated by concordant changes in HSP90AA1 expression in Trametinib-treated cells (Fig. 3E). Analysis of Connectivity Map data (11, 12) revealed further evidence that MEK inhibitors do indeed induce highly similar gene expression signatures to HSP90 perturbations (fig. S14C) especially in A549 but not in MCF7 (fig. S14, D and E). These results are concordant with previous observations of the regulation of HSP90AA1 downstream of MEK signaling (31) and suggests that similarity in single-cell transcriptomes treated with distinct compounds can highlight drugs that target convergent molecular pathways.

Inference of chemical and mechanistic properties of HDAC inhibitors

For each of the three cell lines, the most prominent compound response was comprised of cells treated with one of seventeen histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors (Fig. 3B, dark blue, and table S6). To assess the similarity of the doseresponse trajectories between cell lines, we aligned HDACtreated cells and vehicle-treated cells from all three cell lines using a mutual-nearest neighbor (MNN) matching approach (32) to produce a consensus HDACi trajectory, which we term 'pseudodose' (analogous to pseudotime (33)) (Fig. 4A and fig. S21). We observed that some HDAC inhibitors induced homogeneous responses, with nearly all cells localized to a relatively narrow range of the HDACi trajectory at each dose (e.g. pracinostat in A549), while other drugs induced much greater cellular heterogeneity (Fig. 4B and fig. S22).

Such heterogeneity could be explained by cells executing a defined transcriptional program asynchronously, with the dose of drug that the cells are exposed to modulating the rates of their progression through it. To test this hypothesis, we sequenced the transcriptomes of 64,440 A549 cells that were treated for 72 hours with one of 48 compounds, including many of the HDAC inhibitors from the large sci-Plex screen. Upon accounting for confluency dependent cell cycle effects and MNN alignment (figs. S23 and S24), the co-embedded UMAP projection revealed new focal concentrations of cells at 72 hours that were not evident at the 24 hour time point, e.g. SRT1024 (fig. S25). However, for the majority of HDAC inhibitors tested, we did not observe that cells at a given dose moved farther along an aligned HDAC trajectory at 72 hours (fig. S26). This suggests that the dose of many HDAC inhibitors governs the magnitude of a cell's response rather than its rate of progression and that any observed heterogeneity cannot be attributed solely to asynchrony (fig. S26).

Next, we assessed whether a given HDAC inhibitor's target affinity explained its global transcriptional response to the compound. We used dose-response models to estimate each compound's 'transcriptional EC50 (TC50)', i.e. the concentration needed to drive a cell halfway across the HDACi pseudodose trajectory (fig. S27A and table S6). To compare the transcriptionally-derived measures of potency with the biochemical properties of each compound, we collected published IC50 values for each compound from in vitro assays performed on 8 purified HDAC isoforms (table S7). With the exception of 2 relatively insoluble compounds, our calculated TC50 values increased as a function of compound IC50 values (Fig. 4C and fig. S27, B and C).

To assess the components of the HDAC inhibitor trajectory, we performed differential expression analysis using pseudodose as a continuous covariate. Of the 4,308 genes that were significantly differentially expressed over this consensus trajectory, 2,081 (48%) responded in a cell type-dependent manner, while 942 (22%) exhibited the same pattern in all three cell lines (fig. S28, A and B, and table S8). One prominent pattern shared by the three cell lines was an enrichment for genes and pathways indicative of progression toward cell cycle arrest (figs. S28C and S29, A and B). DNA content staining and flow cytometry confirmed that HDAC inhibition resulted in the accumulation of cells in the G2/M phase of the cell cycle (34) (fig. S29, C and D).

The shared response to HDAC inhibition included not only cell cycle arrest, but also the altered expression of genes involved in cellular metabolism (fig. S28C). Histone acetyltransferases and deacetylases regulate chromatin accessibility and transcription factor activity through the addition or removal of charged acetyl groups (35-37). Acetate, the product of HDAC class I-, II- and IV-mediated histone deacetylation and a precursor to acetyl-CoA, is required for histone acetylation but also has important roles in metabolic homeostasis (23, 38, 39). Inhibition of nuclear deacetylation limits recycling of chromatin-bound acetyl groups for both catabolic and anabolic processes (39). Accordingly, we observed that HDAC inhibition led to sequestration of acetate in the form of markedly increased acetylated lysine levels after exposure to a 10µM dose of the HDAC inhibitors pracinostat and abexinostat (fig. S30).

Upon further inspection of pseudodose-dependent genes, we observed that enzymes critical for cytoplasmic acetyl-CoA synthesis from either citrate (ACLY) or acetate (ACSS2) were up-regulated (Fig. 5A). Genes involved in cytoplasmic citrate homeostasis (GLS, IDH1, and ACO1), citrate cellular import (SLC13A3) and mitochondrial citrate production and export (CS, SLC25A1) were also up-regulated. Up-regulation of SIRT2, which deacetylates tubulin, was also observed in response to HDAC inhibition.

Together with increases in chromatin-bound acetate, these transcriptional responses suggest a metabolically consequential depletion of cellular acetyl-CoA reserves in HDACinhibited cells (Fig. 5B). To validate this further, we sought to shift the distribution of cells along the HDAC inhibitor trajectory by modulating cellular acetyl-CoA levels. We treated A549 and MCF7 cells with pracinostat in the presence and absence of acetyl-CoA precursors (acetate, pyruvate or citrate) or inhibitors to enzymes (ACLY, ACSS2 or PDH) involved in replenishing acetyl-CoA pools. After treatment, cells were harvested and processed via sci-Plex and trajectories constructed for each cell line (figs. S31 and S32). In both A549 and MCF7, acetate, pyruvate and citrate supplementation were capable of blocking pracinostat-treated cells from reaching the end of the HDACi trajectory (fig. S31, F, J, H, and L). In MCF7, both ACLY and ACSS2 inhibition shifted cells further along the HDACi trajectory, although no such shift was observed in A549 (fig. S31, G, K, I, and M). Taken together, these results suggest that a major feature of the response of cells to HDAC inhibitors, and possibly their associated toxicity, is the induction of an acetyl-CoA deprived state.

Discussion

Here we present sci-Plex, a massively multiplex platform for

single-cell transcriptomics. sci-Plex uses chemical fixation to cost-effectively and irreversibly label nuclei with short, unmodified single-stranded DNA oligos. In the proof-of-concept experiment described here, we applied sci-Plex to quantify the dose-dependent responses of cancer cells to 188 compounds through an assay that is both high content (global transcription) and high resolution (single cell). By profiling several distinct cancer cell lines, we distinguished between shared and cell line-specific molecular responses to each compound.

sci-Plex offers some unique advantages over conventional HTS: sci-Plex can distinguish a compound's distinct effects on cellular subsets (including complex in vitro systems e.g. cellular reprogramming, organoids, synthetic embryos); it can unmask heterogeneity in cellular response to a perturbation; and it can measure how drugs shift the relative proportions of transcriptionally distinct subsets of cells. Highlighting these features, our study provides insight into the mechanism of action of HDAC inhibitors. Specifically, we find that the main transcriptional responses to HDAC inhibitors involve cell cycle arrest and marked shifts in genes related to acetyl-CoA metabolism. For some HDAC inhibitors, we observe clear heterogeneity in responses observed at the single cell level. Although HDAC inhibition is conventionally thought to act through mechanisms directly involving chromatin regulation, our data support an alternative model, albeit not a mutually exclusive one, wherein HDAC inhibitors impair growth and proliferation by interfering with a cancer cell's ability to draw acetate from chromatin (22, 23, 39). As such, variation in cells' acetate reservoirs is a potential explanation for their heterogeneous responses to HDAC inhibitors.

As the cost of single cell sequencing continues to fall, the opportunities for leveraging sci-Plex for basic and applied goals in biomedicine may be substantial. The proof-of-concept experiments described here-nearly 5,000 independent treatments, transcriptional profiling of over 100 single cells per treatment-can potentially be scaled toward a comprehensive, high-resolution atlas of cellular responses to pharmacologic perturbations (*e.g.* hundreds of cell lines or genetic backgrounds, thousands of compounds, multi-channel single cell profiling, etc.). The ease and low cost of oligo hashing, coupled with the flexibility and exponential scalability of single cell combinatorial indexing, would facilitate this goal.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- J. R. Broach, J. Thorner, High-throughput screening for drug discovery. Nature 384 (Suppl), 14–16 (1996). Medline
- D. A. Pereira, J. A. Williams, Origin and evolution of high throughput screening. Br. J. Pharmacol. 152, 53–61 (2007). doi:10.1038/sj.bjp.0707373 Medline
- D. Shum, C. Radu, E. Kim, M. Cajuste, Y. Shao, V. E. Seshan, H. Djaballah, A high density assay format for the detection of novel cytotoxic agents in large chemical libraries. *J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem.* 23, 931–945 (2008). doi:10.1080/14756360701810082 Medline
- 4. C. Yu, A. M. Mannan, G. M. Yvone, K. N. Ross, Y.-L. Zhang, M. A. Marton, B. R. Taylor, A. Crenshaw, J. Z. Gould, P. Tamayo, B. A. Weir, A. Tsherniak, B. Wong, L. A.

Garraway, A. F. Shamji, M. A. Palmer, M. A. Foley, W. Winckler, S. L. Schreiber, A. L. Kung, T. R. Golub, High-throughput identification of genotype-specific cancer vulnerabilities in mixtures of barcoded tumor cell lines. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **34**, 419–423 (2016). doi:10.1038/nbt.3460 Medline

- Z. E. Perlman, M. D. Slack, Y. Feng, T. J. Mitchison, L. F. Wu, S. J. Altschuler, Multidimensional drug profiling by automated microscopy. *Science* **306**, 1194– 1198 (2004). doi:10.1126/science.1100709 Medline
- 6. Y. Futamura, M. Kawatani, S. Kazami, K. Tanaka, M. Muroi, T. Shimizu, K. Tomita, N. Watanabe, H. Osada, Morphobase, an encyclopedic cell morphology database, and its use for drug target identification. *Chem. Biol.* **19**, 1620–1630 (2012). doi:10.1016/j.chembiol.2012.10.014 Medline
- J. Kang, C.-H. Hsu, Q. Wu, S. Liu, A. D. Coster, B. A. Posner, S. J. Altschuler, L. F. Wu, Improving drug discovery with high-content phenotypic screens by systematic selection of reporter cell lines. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 34, 70–77 (2016). doi:10.1038/nbt.3419 Medline
- K. L. Huss, P. E. Blonigen, R. M. Campbell, Development of a Transcreener kinase assay for protein kinase A and demonstration of concordance of data with a filterbinding assay format. *J. Biomol. Screen.* **12**, 578–584 (2007). doi:10.1177/1087057107300221 Medline
- C. Ye, D. J. Ho, M. Neri, C. Yang, T. Kulkarni, R. Randhawa, M. Henault, N. Mostacci, P. Farmer, S. Renner, R. Ihry, L. Mansur, C. G. Keller, G. McAllister, M. Hild, J. Jenkins, A. Kaykas, DRUG-seq for miniaturized high-throughput transcriptome profiling in drug discovery. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 4307 (2018). doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06500-x Medline
- E. C. Bush, F. Ray, M. J. Alvarez, R. Realubit, H. Li, C. Karan, A. Califano, P. A. Sims, PLATE-Seq for genome-wide regulatory network analysis of high-throughput screens. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 105 (2017). doi:10.1038/s41467-017-00136-z Medline
- 11. A. Subramanian, R. Narayan, S. M. Corsello, D. D. Peck, T. E. Natoli, X. Lu, J. Gould, J. F. Davis, A. A. Tubelli, J. K. Asiedu, D. L. Lahr, J. E. Hirschman, Z. Liu, M. Donahue, B. Julian, M. Khan, D. Wadden, I. C. Smith, D. Lam, A. Liberzon, C. Toder, M. Bagul, M. Orzechowski, O. M. Enache, F. Piccioni, S. A. Johnson, N. J. Lyons, A. H. Berger, A. F. Shamji, A. N. Brooks, A. Vrcic, C. Flynn, J. Rosains, D. Y. Takeda, R. Hu, D. Davison, J. Lamb, K. Ardlie, L. Hogstrom, P. Greenside, N. S. Gray, P. A. Clemons, S. Silver, X. Wu, W.-N. Zhao, W. Read-Button, X. Wu, S. J. Haggarty, L. V. Ronco, J. S. Boehm, S. L. Schreiber, J. G. Doench, J. A. Bittker, D. E. Root, B. Wong, T. R. Golub, A next generation connectivity map: L1000 platform and the first 1.000.000 profiles. Cell 171. 1437-1452.e17 (2017)doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.10.049 Medline
- J. Lamb, E. D. Crawford, D. Peck, J. W. Modell, I. C. Blat, M. J. Wrobel, J. Lerner, J.-P. Brunet, A. Subramanian, K. N. Ross, M. Reich, H. Hieronymus, G. Wei, S. A. Armstrong, S. J. Haggarty, P. A. Clemons, R. Wei, S. A. Carr, E. S. Lander, T. R. Golub, The Connectivity Map: Using gene-expression signatures to connect small molecules, genes, and disease. *Science* **313**, 1929–1935 (2006). doi:10.1126/science.1132939 Medline
- M. B. Elowitz, A. J. Levine, E. D. Siggia, P. S. Swain, Stochastic gene expression in a single cell. *Science* 297, 1183–1186 (2002). <u>doi:10.1126/science.1070919</u> <u>Medline</u>
- C. Trapnell, Defining cell types and states with single-cell genomics. *Genome Res.* 25, 1491–1498 (2015). doi:10.1101/gr.190595.115 Medline
- S. M. Shaffer, M. C. Dunagin, S. R. Torborg, E. A. Torre, B. Emert, C. Krepler, M. Beqiri, K. Sproesser, P. A. Brafford, M. Xiao, E. Eggan, I. N. Anastopoulos, C. A. Vargas-Garcia, A. Singh, K. L. Nathanson, M. Herlyn, A. Raj, Rare cell variability and drug-induced reprogramming as a mode of cancer drug resistance. *Nature* 546, 431–435 (2017). doi:10.1038/nature22794 Medline
- S. L. Spencer, S. Gaudet, J. G. Albeck, J. M. Burke, P. K. Sorger, Non-genetic origins of cell-to-cell variability in TRAIL-induced apoptosis. *Nature* 459, 428–432 (2009). doi:10.1038/nature08012 Medline
- M. Stoeckius, S. Zheng, B. Houck-Loomis, S. Hao, B. Z. Yeung, W. M. Mauck 3rd, P. Smibert, R. Satija, Cell Hashing with barcoded antibodies enables multiplexing and doublet detection for single cell genomics. *Genome Biol.* **19**, 224 (2018). doi:10.1186/s13059-018-1603-1 Medline
- J. Gehring, J. H. Park, S. Chen, M. Thomson, L. Pachter, Highly multiplexed singlecell RNA-seq for defining cell population and transcriptional spaces. bioRxiv 315333 [Preprint] 5 May 2018. <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/315333</u>.
- 19. C. S. McGinnis, D. M. Patterson, J. Winkler, D. N. Conrad, M. Y. Hein, V. Srivastava,

5

J. L. Hu, L. M. Murrow, J. S. Weissman, Z. Werb, E. D. Chow, Z. J. Gartner, MULTIseq: Sample multiplexing for single-cell RNA sequencing using lipid-tagged indices. *Nat. Methods* **16**, 619–626 (2019). doi:10.1038/s41592-019-0433-8 Medline

- D. Shin, W. Lee, J. H. Lee, D. Bang, Multiplexed single-cell RNA-seq via transient barcoding for simultaneous expression profiling of various drug perturbations. *Sci. Adv.* 5, eaav2249 (2019). doi:10.1126/sciadv.aav2249 Medline
- J. Cao, M. Spielmann, X. Qiu, X. Huang, D. M. Ibrahim, A. J. Hill, F. Zhang, S. Mundlos, L. Christiansen, F. J. Steemers, C. Trapnell, J. Shendure, The single-cell transcriptional landscape of mammalian organogenesis. *Nature* 566, 496–502 (2019). doi:10.1038/s41586-019-0969-x Medline
- M. A. McBrian, I. S. Behbahan, R. Ferrari, T. Su, T.-W. Huang, K. Li, C. S. Hong, H. R. Christofk, M. Vogelauer, D. B. Seligson, S. K. Kurdistani, Histone acetylation regulates intracellular pH. *Mol. Cell* **49**, 310–321 (2013). doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2012.10.025 Medline
- S. A. Comerford, Z. Huang, X. Du, Y. Wang, L. Cai, A. K. Witkiewicz, H. Walters, M. N. Tantawy, A. Fu, H. C. Manning, J. D. Horton, R. E. Hammer, S. L. McKnight, B. P. Tu, Acetate dependence of tumors. *Cell* **159**, 1591–1602 (2014). doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.11.020 Medline
- 24. D. A. Cusanovich, R. Daza, A. Adey, H. A. Pliner, L. Christiansen, K. L. Gunderson, F. J. Steemers, C. Trapnell, J. Shendure, Multiplex single cell profiling of chromatin accessibility by combinatorial cellular indexing. *Science* **348**, 910–914 (2015). doi:10.1126/science.aab1601 Medline
- J. Cao, J. S. Packer, V. Ramani, D. A. Cusanovich, C. Huynh, R. Daza, X. Qiu, C. Lee, S. N. Furlan, F. J. Steemers, A. Adey, R. H. Waterston, C. Trapnell, J. Shendure, Comprehensive single-cell transcriptional profiling of a multicellular organism. *Science* 357, 661–667 (2017). doi:10.1126/science.aam8940 Medline
- L. McInnes, J. Healy, UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection for Dimension Reduction. <u>arXiv:1802.03426</u> [stat.ML] (9 February 2018).
- M. Jost, Y. Chen, L. A. Gilbert, M. A. Horlbeck, L. Krenning, G. Menchon, A. Rai, M. Y. Cho, J. J. Stern, A. E. Prota, M. Kampmann, A. Akhmanova, M. O. Steinmetz, M. E. Tanenbaum, J. S. Weissman, Combined CRISPRi/a-based chemical genetic screens reveal that rigosertib is a microtubule-destabilizing agent. *Mol. Cell* 68, 210–223.e6 (2017). doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2017.09.012 Medline
- G. Grosveld, T. Verwoerd, T. van Agthoven, A. de Klein, K. L. Ramachandran, N. Heisterkamp, K. Stam, J. Groffen, The chronic myelocytic cell line K562 contains a breakpoint in bcr and produces a chimeric bcr/c-abl transcript. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 6, 607–616 (1986). doi:10.1128/MCB.6.2.607 Medline
- E. K. Greuber, P. Smith-Pearson, J. Wang, A. M. Pendergast, Role of ABL family kinases in cancer: From leukaemia to solid tumours. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 13, 559–571 (2013). doi:10.1038/nrc3563 Medline
- 30. J. Barretina, G. Caponigro, N. Stransky, K. Venkatesan, A. A. Margolin, S. Kim, C. J. Wilson, J. Lehár, G. V. Kryukov, D. Sonkin, A. Reddy, M. Liu, L. Murray, M. F. Berger, J. E. Monahan, P. Morais, J. Meltzer, A. Korejwa, J. Jané-Valbuena, F. A. Mapa, J. Thibault, E. Bric-Furlong, P. Raman, A. Shipway, I. H. Engels, J. Cheng, G. K. Yu, J. Yu, P. Aspesi Jr., M. de Silva, K. Jagtap, M. D. Jones, L. Wang, C. Hatton, E. Palescandolo, S. Gupta, S. Mahan, C. Sougnez, R. C. Onofrio, T. Liefeld, L. MacConaill, W. Winckler, M. Reich, N. Li, J. P. Mesirov, S. B. Gabriel, G. Getz, K. Ardlie, V. Chan, V. E. Myer, B. L. Weber, J. Porter, M. Warmuth, P. Finan, J. L. Harris, M. Meyerson, T. R. Golub, M. P. Morrissey, W. R. Sellers, R. Schlegel, L. A. Garraway, The Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia enables predictive modelling of sensitivity. anticancer drug Nature 483. 603-607 (2012)doi:10.1038/nature11003 Medline
- C. Dai, S. Santagata, Z. Tang, J. Shi, J. Cao, H. Kwon, R. T. Bronson, L. Whitesell, S. Lindquist, Loss of tumor suppressor NF1 activates HSF1 to promote carcinogenesis. *J. Clin. Invest.* **122**, 3742–3754 (2012). doi:10.1172/JCI62727 Medline
- L. Haghverdi, A. T. L. Lun, M. D. Morgan, J. C. Marioni, Batch effects in single-cell RNA-sequencing data are corrected by matching mutual nearest neighbors. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 36, 421–427 (2018). doi:10.1038/nbt.4091 Medline
- C. Trapnell, D. Cacchiarelli, J. Grimsby, P. Pokharel, S. Li, M. Morse, N. J. Lennon, K. J. Livak, T. S. Mikkelsen, J. L. Rinn, The dynamics and regulators of cell fate decisions are revealed by pseudotemporal ordering of single cells. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 32, 381–386 (2014). doi:10.1038/nbt.2859 Medline
- 34. W. Brazelle, J. M. Kreahling, J. Gemmer, Y. Ma, W. D. Cress, E. Haura, S. Altiok,

Histone deacetylase inhibitors downregulate checkpoint kinase 1 expression to induce cell death in non-small cell lung cancer cells. *PLOS ONE* **5**, e14335 (2010). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0014335 Medline

- J.-S. Roe, F. Mercan, K. Rivera, D. J. Pappin, C. R. Vakoc, BET bromodomain inhibition suppresses the function of hematopoietic transcription factors in acute myeloid leukemia. *Mol. Cell* 58, 1028–1039 (2015). doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2015.04.011 Medline
- 36. J. E. Brownell, J. Zhou, T. Ranalli, R. Kobayashi, D. G. Edmondson, S. Y. Roth, C. D. Allis, Tetrahymena histone acetyltransferase A: A homolog to yeast Gcn5p linking histone acetylation to gene activation. *Cell* 84, 843–851 (1996). doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81063-6 Medline
- J. Taunton, C. A. Hassig, S. L. Schreiber, A mammalian histone deacetylase related to the yeast transcriptional regulator Rpd3p. *Science* 272, 408–411 (1996). doi:10.1126/science.272.5260.408 Medline
- S. K. Kurdistani, Chromatin: A capacitor of acetate for integrated regulation of gene expression and cell physiology. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 26, 53–58 (2014). doi:10.1016/j.gde.2014.06.002 Medline
- K. E. Wellen, G. Hatzivassiliou, U. M. Sachdeva, T. V. Bui, J. R. Cross, C. B. Thompson, ATP-citrate lyase links cellular metabolism to histone acetylation. *Science* 324, 1076–1080 (2009). doi:10.1126/science.1164097 Medline
- A. R. Quinlan, I. M. Hall, BEDTools: A flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 26, 841–842 (2010). doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq033 Medline
- C. Ritz, F. Baty, J. C. Streibig, D. Gerhard, Dose-response analysis using R. PLOS ONE 10, e0146021 (2015). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0146021 Medline
- M. E. Ritchie, B. Phipson, D. Wu, Y. Hu, C. W. Law, W. Shi, G. K. Smyth, limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, e47 (2015). doi:10.1093/nar/gkv007 Medline
- I. Tirosh, B. Izar, S. M. Prakadan, M. H. Wadsworth 2nd, D. Treacy, J. J. Trombetta, A. Rotem, C. Rodman, C. Lian, G. Murphy, M. Fallahi-Sichani, K. Dutton-Regester, J.-R. Lin, O. Cohen, P. Shah, D. Lu, A. S. Genshaft, T. K. Hughes, C. G. K. Ziegler, S. W. Kazer, A. Gaillard, K. E. Kolb, A.-C. Villani, C. M. Johannessen, A. Y. Andreev, E. M. Van Allen, M. Bertagnolli, P. K. Sorger, R. J. Sullivan, K. T. Flaherty, D. T. Frederick, J. Jané-Valbuena, C. H. Yoon, O. Rozenblatt-Rosen, A. K. Shalek, A. Regev, L. A. Garraway, Dissecting the multicellular ecosystem of metastatic melanoma by single-cell RNA-seq. *Science* 352, 189–196 (2016). doi:10.1126/science.aad0501 Medline
- 44. L. Väremo, J. Nielsen, I. Nookaew, Enriching the gene set analysis of genome-wide data by incorporating directionality of gene expression and combining statistical hypotheses and methods. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **41**, 4378–4391 (2013). doi:10.1093/nar/gkt111 Medline
- 45. A. Subramanian, P. Tamayo, V. K. Mootha, S. Mukherjee, B. L. Ebert, M. A. Gillette, A. Paulovich, S. L. Pomeroy, T. R. Golub, E. S. Lander, J. P. Mesirov, Gene set enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **102**, 15545–15550 (2005). doi:10.1073/pnas.0506580102 Medline

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank members of the Shendure lab, Trapnell lab and others, particularly A. Adey, G. Booth, A. Hill, S. Henikoff, K. Cherukumilli, P. Selvaraj, and T. Zhou for helpful suggestions, discussion and mentorship. D. Prunkard and A. Leith for assistance in flow sorting. Funding: This work was funded by grants from the NIH (DP1HG007811 and R01HG006283 to J.S.; DP2 HD088158 to C.T.), the W. M. Keck Foundation (to C.T. and J.S.), the NSF (DGE-1258485 to S.S). The Paul G. Allen Frontiers Group (to J.S. and C.T.). J.S. is an Investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. L.C., FZ, and F.J.S. declare competing financial interests in the form of stock ownership and paid employment by Illumina, Inc. Author contributions: S.R.S., J.L.M., V.R., J.S., C.T. conceived the project; S.R.S., J.L.M., V.R. designed experiments; F.Z, L.C, F.S provided reagents and performed sequencing; S.R.S., J.L.M., C.T. analyzed the data; S.R.S., J.L.M., V.R., J.S., C.T. wrote the manuscript. Competing interests: One or more embodiments of one or more patents and patent applications filed by Illumina and University of Washington may encompass the methods, reagents, and data disclosed in this manuscript. Data and materials availability: Processed and raw data can be downloaded from NCBI GEO (#GSE139944). Code used to

perform analyses can be accessed on Zenodo and https://github.com/coletrapnell-lab/sci-plex. All methods for making the transposase complexes used in this paper are described in Cao *et al.*, *Science* **357**, 661–667 (2017); however, Illumina will provide transposase complexes in response to reasonable requests from the scientific community subject to a material transfer agreement.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

science.sciencemag.org/content/[vol]/[issue]/[page]/suppl/DC1 Materials and Methods Figs. S1 to S32 Tables S1 to S8 References (40-45)

8 April 2019; accepted 18 November 2019 Published online 5 December 2019 10.1126/science.aax6234



Fig. 1. sci-Plex uses polyadenylated single stranded oligonucleotides to label nuclei, enabling cell hashing and doublet detection. (A) Fluorescent images of permeabilized nuclei after incubation with DAPI (top) and an Alexa-647 conjugated single stranded oligonucleotide (bottom). (B) Overview of sci-Plex. Cells corresponding to different perturbations are lysed in-well, their nuclei labeled with wellspecific "hash" oligos, followed by fixation, pooling and sci-RNA-seq. (C) Scatter plot depicting the number of unique molecular identifiers (UMIs) from single cell transcriptomes derived from a mixture of hashed human HEK293T cells and murine NIH3T3 cells. Points colored based on hash oligo assignment. (D) Boxplot depicting the number of mRNA UMIs recovered per cell for fresh vs. frozen human and mouse cell lines. (E) Scatter plot of overloading experiment; axes as in panel C. Identified Hash oligo collisions (red) identify cellular collisions with high sensitivity.



Fig. 2. sci-Plex enables multiplex chemical transcriptomics at single cell resolution. (A) Cartoon representation depicting compounds and corresponding targets assayed within the pilot sci-Plex experiment. A549 lung adenocarcinoma cells were treated with either vehicle (DMSO or ethanol) or one of four compounds (BMS345541, dexamethasone, nutlin-3a or SAHA). (B) UMAP embedding of chemically perturbed A549 cells colored by drug treatment. (C) UMAP embedding of chemically perturbed A549 cells faceted by treatment with cells colored by dose. (D and E) Expression of a canonical (D) glucocorticoid receptor activated (*ANGPTL4*) and repressed (*GDF15*) target genes as a function of dexamethasone dose, or (E) p53 target genes as a function of nutlin-3a dose. Y-axes indicate percentage of cells with at least one read corresponding to the transcript. (F) Dose-response viability estimates for BMS345541, dexamethasone, nutlin-3a and SAHA-treated A549 cells, based on the relative number of cells recovered at each dose.



Fig. 3. sci-Plex enables global transcriptional profiling of thousands of chemical perturbations in a single experiment. (A) Schematic of the large-scale sci-Plex experiment (sci-RNA-seq3). 188 small molecules were tested for their effects on A549, K562 and MCF7 human cell lines, each at 4 doses and in biological replicate, after 24 hours of treatment. The plate positions of doses and drugs were varied between replicates and a median of 100 to 200 cells were recovered per condition. (B) UMAP embeddings of A549, K562 and MCF7 cells in our screen with each cell colored by the pathway targeted by the compound to which a given cell was exposed. To facilitate visualization of significant molecular phenotypes, we added transparency to cells treated with compound/dose combinations that did not appreciably alter the corresponding cells' distribution in UMAP space as compared to vehicle controls (Fisher's exact test, FDR < 1%). (C) Viability estimates obtained from hash-based counts of nuclei at each dose of selected compounds (bosutinib highlighted in red text). Rows represent compound doses increasing from top to bottom and columns represent individual compounds. Annotation bar at top depicts the broad cellular activity targeted by each compound. (D) UMAP embeddings highlighted by treatment with the MEK inhibitor trametinib (red), an HSP90 inhibitor (purple), or vehicle control (gray). (E) *HSP90AA1* expression levels in cells exposed to increasing doses of trametinib. Y-axes indicate percentage of cells with at least one read corresponding to the transcript.



Fig. 4. HDAC inhibitor trajectory captures cellular heterogeneity in drug response and biochemical affinity.

(A) Nearest-neighbor alignment and UMAP embedding of transcriptional profiles of cells treated with one of seventeen HDAC inhibitors. Pseudodose root displayed as a red dot. (B) Ridge plots displaying the distribution of cells along pseudodose by dose. Shown for 3 HDAC inhibitors with varying biochemical affinities. (C) Relationship between log transcriptional EC50 (TC50) and average $log_{10}(IC50)$ from in vitro measurements. Asterisks indicate compounds with a solubility below 200 mM (in DMSO) that were not included in the fit.



Fig. 5. HDAC inhibitors shared transcriptional response indicative of acetyl CoA deprivation. (A) Heatmap of row-centered and z-scaled gene expression depicting the up-regulation of pseudodose-dependent genes involved in cellular carbon metabolism. (B) Cartoon of the roles of genes from (A) in cytoplasmic acetyl-CoA regulation. Red circles indicate acetyl groups. Enzymes shown in gray. Transporters shown in green (FA = fatty acid; Ac-CoA = Acetyl-CoA; C = Citrate).



Massively multiplex chemical transcriptomics at single cell resolution

Sanjay R Srivatsan, José L. McFaline-Figueroa, Vijay Ramani, Lauren Saunders, Junyue Cao, Jonathan Packer, Hannah A. Pliner, Dana L. Jackson, Riza M. Daza, Lena Christiansen, Fan Zhang, Frank Steemers, Jay Shendure and Cole Trapnell

published online December 5, 2019

ARTICLE TOOLS	http://science.sciencemag.org/content/early/2019/12/04/science.aax6234
SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS	http://science.sciencemag.org/content/suppl/2019/12/04/science.aax6234.DC1
REFERENCES	This article cites 44 articles, 13 of which you can access for free http://science.sciencemag.org/content/early/2019/12/04/science.aax6234#BIBL
PERMISSIONS	http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions

Use of this article is subject to the Terms of Service

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.

Copyright © 2019, American Association for the Advancement of Science