#### nature cancer

Article

# Single-cell analyses implicate ascites in remodeling the ecosystems of primary and metastatic tumors in ovarian cancer

Received: 18 March 2022

Accepted: 19 June 2023

Published online: 24 July 2023

Check for updates

Xiaocui Zheng<sup>1,9</sup>, Xinjing Wang<sup>1,9</sup>, Xi Cheng<sup>2,9</sup>, Zhaoyuan Liu<sup>3</sup>, Yujia Yin<sup>1</sup>, Xiaoduan Li<sup>1</sup>, Zhihao Huang<sup>4</sup>, Ziliang Wang<sup>1</sup>, Wei Guo<sup>3</sup>, Florent Ginhoux<sup>3,5,6,7</sup>, Ziyi Li $\mathbf{0}^3 \boxtimes$ , Zemin Zhang $\mathbf{0}^8 \boxtimes$  & Xipeng Wang $\mathbf{0}^1 \boxtimes$ 

Ovarian cancer (OC) is an aggressive gynecological tumor usually diagnosed with widespread metastases and ascites. Here, we depicted a single-cell landscape of the OC ecosystem with five tumor-relevant sites, including omentum metastasis and malignant ascites. Our data reveal the potential roles of ascites-enriched memory T cells as a pool for tumor-infiltrating exhausted CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and T helper 1-like cells. Moreover, tumor-enriched macrophages exhibited a preference for monocyte-derived ontogeny, whereas macrophages in ascites were more of embryonic origin. Furthermore, we characterized MAIT and dendritic cells in malignant ascites, as well as two endothelial subsets in primary tumors as predictive biomarkers for platinum-based chemotherapy response. Taken together, our study provides a global view of the female malignant ascites ecosystem and offers valuable insights for its connection with tumor tissues and paves the way for potential markers of efficacy evaluation and therapy resistance in OC.

As a heterogeneous disease, ovarian cancer (OC) is the most lethal gynecological malignancy, which accounts for 5% of cancer deaths in females<sup>1</sup>. OC is a heterogeneous disease consisting of malignancies with different histological subtypes, molecular biology and microenvironment features, which affect its treatment response and clinical outcomes<sup>2</sup>. Among all OC types, high-grade serous OC (HGSOC) is the most common histological subtype accounting for more than 70% of patients with OC<sup>3</sup>. Once diagnosed, over 75% of patients with HGSOC present an advanced disease with widespread metastasis and ascites<sup>4,5</sup>. As reported, a predilection of metastasis to omentum in OC is consistently identified owing to the fatty structure of omentum and peritoneal

circulation<sup>6</sup>. Although treatments with chemotherapy plus bevacizumab prolong the 5-year survival, the overall benefits are still limited. Additionally, immunotherapies such as immune-checkpoint inhibitors only showed an objective response rate of 10% in clinical trials<sup>7</sup> and OC subtypes often exhibited diverse responses to immunotherapy owing to the different proportion and quality of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs)<sup>8,9</sup>. Therefore, it is essential to characterize the tumor microenvironment (TME) of OC, which harbors diverse cellular components playing important roles in disease progression and therapy response.

Single-cell mRNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) is a powerful tool to characterize the cellular features and dynamic relationships of

<sup>1</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Xinhua Hospital, Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China. <sup>2</sup>Department of Gynecological Oncology, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, Shanghai, China. <sup>3</sup>Department of Immunology and Microbiology, Shanghai Institute of Immunology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China. <sup>4</sup>Analytical Biosciences Limited, Beijing, China. <sup>5</sup>Singapore Immunology Network (SIgN), Agency for Science, Technology and Research (A\*STAR), Singapore, Singapore. <sup>6</sup>Translational Immunology Institute, SingHealth Duke-NUS Academic Medical Centre, Singapore, Singapore. <sup>7</sup>Gustave Roussy Cancer Campus, Villejuif, France. <sup>8</sup>BIOPIC, and School of Life Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, China. <sup>9</sup>These authors contributed equally: Xiaocui Zheng, Xinjing Wang, Xi Cheng.

different cell populations in multiple malignancies<sup>10-12</sup>. For instance, a previous single-cell atlas of primary ovarian tumor has revealed a GZMK<sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> effector memory (T<sub>FM</sub>) T cell subset as pre-dysfunctional effector memory cells<sup>13</sup>. Moreover, another OC study defined a population of stem cell-like tissue-resident memory T cells with a maximal expression level of GZMK, which would develop into exhausted T ( $T_{FX}$ ) cells<sup>14</sup>; however, where these memory T cells originate from is still unknown due to the limited sampling tissues in previous studies. Besides primary tumors, omentum metastases and malignant ascites are equally important in OC studies. For example, interleukin (IL)-6 secreted from cancer-associated fibroblasts in the ascites ecosystem could stimulate JAK-STAT signaling in malignant cells, leading to a poor prognosis and resistance to chemotherapies<sup>15</sup>. But previous single-cell analysis of OC ascites focused largely on malignant cells and other CD45<sup>-</sup> cells<sup>15</sup> and little is known about the immune milieu in the OC ascites and how malignant ascites influence the immune status of OC. Thus, a high-resolution cellular landscape involving multiple-site tissues is needed to characterize the comprehensive TME of different OC sites, especially omentum metastasis and ascites.

Here, we delineated a comprehensive landscape of OC TME via scRNA-seq by comparing the unique cellular compositions of five tumor-related sites, including primary ovarian tumor (Pri.OT), omentum metastasis (Met.Ome), ascites, pelvic lymph node (PLN) and peripheral blood (PB). Through T cell receptor (TCR)-based lineage tracing and trajectory inference, we unveiled potential dynamic characteristics of T cells from ascites to tumor tissues. We characterized the functional states and ontogeny of macrophages in ascites and tumor tissues and also highlighted DES<sup>+</sup> mesothelial cells as important immunoregulators reprogramming OC ascites. Additionally, we revealed the associations between distinct cellular compositions and the clinical responses to platinum-based chemotherapy, which might serve as indicators of treatment effectiveness. Taken together, our findings provide insights into the functions of malignant ascites and would provide an important resource to guide the development of additional therapeutic strategies.

#### Results

#### High-resolution landscape of OC by multisite scRNA-seq

To elucidate the complexity of cellular compositions in ovarian cancer, we utilized scRNA-seq to analyze unsorted cells from PB, PLN, Pri.OT, matched Met.Ome and malignant ascites of 14 patients with advanced OC (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Table 1). These patients exhibited five distinct histological subtypes and varying responses to platinum-based chemotherapy. In total, we cataloged 223,363 high-quality single cells into five major cell lineages annotated by canonical marker expression (Fig. 1b,c, Extended Data Fig. 1a–c and Supplementary Table 2).

We first quantified relative tissue enrichment of major cell clusters by calculating the ratio of observed to expected cell numbers  $(R_{\alpha/e})$  using data of patients with HGSOC (Fig. 1d, e, Extended Data Fig. 1d and Supplementary Table 3). As expected, B cells and CD4<sup>+</sup>T cells dominated the PLNs, whereas lymphocytes and monocytes constituted the main cellular components of PB samples. Of note, we identified all five major cell lineages in both Pri.OT and Met.Ome and the enrichment pattern of most cell types showed no significant differences between these two sites, suggesting a similar complex TME necessary to the development of both primary and metastatic tumor cells (Fig. 1e and Extended Data Fig. 1e). Ascites, frequently found in patients with advanced OC and associated with chemotherapy response<sup>5</sup>, harbored a large number of immune cells and stromal cells. Among them, CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, macrophages and dendritic cells (DCs) were major constituents of ascites with high cell proportions, indicating an inflammatory microenvironment. Mesothelial cells, recently reported to be tightly associated with metastasis of OC16, were also preferentially found in malignant ascites (Fig. 1d,e).

Unlike nonmalignant cells, tumor cells as defined by inferred copy number variations (inferCNV), exhibited a strong interpatient heterogeneity (Extended Data Fig. 2a–c). Notably, tumor cells were identified in all ascites samples, with an averaged proportion of 2.7% (1,444 of 53,499) (Extended Data Fig. 2d). Our observation was consistent with the notion that OC tumor cells prefer to 'seed' to the peritoneal cavity rather than spreading via vasculature, which highlights the tight association between ascites and intraperitoneal spread of  $OC^{17}$ . Further, inferCNV analyses showed that the subclones of tumor cells found within Met.Ome were also detectable in that of Pri.OT (Extended Data Fig. 2e), indicating these subclones as tumorigenic populations of peritoneal metastasis.

#### Dynamic relationships of T cells in OC

Given that HGSOC is the most common OC subtype, we focused on HGSOC in the subsequent analyses of specific cellular compartments in the TME. We first focused on the intrinsic properties and potential functions of T cell populations in OC. By unsupervised clustering, we identified five CD4<sup>+</sup> clusters, five CD8<sup>+</sup> clusters and two unconventional clusters (Fig. 2a, Extended Data Fig. 3a, b and Supplementary Table 4). The conventional T cell clusters were further split into naive  $(T_N)$ , central memory  $(T_{CM})$ , effector memory  $(T_{EM})$ , effector  $(T_{eff})$ , regulatory  $(T_{reg})$ , T helper 1 ( $T_{H}$ 1)-like<sup>18</sup> and exhausted<sup>19</sup> ( $T_{EX}$ ) T cell clusters, which showed different tissue preference patterns (Fig. 2a,b, Extended Data Fig. 3c and Supplementary Table 5). T<sub>N</sub> cells (T01 and T06) were enriched in PB and PLN, maintaining a quiescent state. Consistent with previous studies<sup>20</sup>, the majority of immunosuppressive  $FOXP3^+T_{reg}(TO3)$  cells and the HAVCR2<sup>+</sup> exhausted CD8<sup>+</sup> cells (T10), were predominantly enriched in both two tumor sites. The analyses by flow cytometry also suggested a higher proportion of  $T_{reg}$  and PD-1<sup>+</sup> T cells in tumor sites than in ascites (Extended Data Fig. 3d), further proving a more immunosuppressive status in tumor tissues compared to malignant ascites. Additionally,  $CXCL13^+$  T<sub>H</sub>1-like cells (T05) were also enriched in tumor sites, whereas CD4<sup>+</sup>ANXA1<sup>+</sup> T<sub>CM</sub> (T02) and CX3CR1<sup>+</sup> T<sub>eff</sub> cells (T04 and T09) were mainly detected in blood and ascites. Specifically, we identified two CD8 $^{+}T_{EM}$ clusters occupying a large proportion of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, with T07 ANXA2<sup>+</sup> T<sub>FM</sub> enriched in tumor sites and T08 GZMK<sup>+</sup> T<sub>FM</sub> enriched in ascites (Fig. 2b). Based on limited differential expressed genes, we observed that tumor-enriched ANXA2<sup>+</sup> T<sub>EM</sub> cells expressed increased levels of genes encoding effector molecules (such as GNLY, GZMB and TNFSF10)<sup>12</sup> (Extended Data Fig. 3e), indicating the intrinsic antitumor effector potential of T<sub>FM</sub> cells inside tumors. By contrast, ascites-enriched GZMK<sup>+</sup> T<sub>EM</sub> cells exhibited higher expressions of EOMES and TCF7 (ref. 21) (Extended Data Fig. 3e), which are the key transcription factor genes in progenitor T<sub>FX</sub> cells, suggesting that *GZMK*<sup>+</sup> T<sub>FM</sub> cells were more likely to transit into  $T_{FX}$  cells.

Combined with TCR-seq and single-cell transcriptomics, we captured at least one pair of full-length productive  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -chains in 54,061 T cells, of which 21.12% (11,415 cells) harbored repeated TCRs of 2,386 clonotypes (Fig. 2a and Extended Data Fig. 3f,g). We then quantitatively evaluated the T cell dynamics using the previously developed STARTRAC indices upon TCR tracking<sup>18</sup> (Methods). T cells carrying repetitive TCRs are defined as clonal cells. The presence of clonal cells across several different tissue sites within the same cluster implies the tissue migration (STARTRAC-migr) of indicated T cell subtypes. And clonal cells found within a T cell cluster were quantified with STARTRAC-expa index, whereas clonal cells between two different T cell subtypes referred to cell state transition (STARTRAC-tran). Among all CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, T<sub>eff</sub> cells showed the highest clonal expansion, migration and transition index (Fig. 2c), as expected. Additionally, expa index pointed out that clonal expansion might be a possible explanation for the T<sub>EX</sub> enrichment in tumor sites (Fig. 2c), consistent with previous findings<sup>22</sup>. Notably, we observed strong TCR sharing of  $T_{FX}$ cells among two tumor sites and ascites (Met.Ome-AS, Pri.OT-AS and Pri.OT-Met.Ome) (Extended Data Fig. 4a). Considering that exhausted



**Fig. 1** | **Landscape of advanced ovarian cancer via scRNA-seq of five sites. a**, Overall study design with flowchart of sample collection and single-cell analysis of OC by 10x Genomics sequencing. n = 14 patients with OC who were responsive or nonresponsive to platinum-based chemotherapy were recruited to our study. In total, n = 39 samples, including n = 6 PB, n = 5 PLN, n = 13 Pri.OT, n = 5 matched Met.Ome and n = 10 ascites samples were analyzed. Each dot corresponds to one sample, colored by sample types. Red triangle, orange triangle, dark red circle, dark red triangle, green triangle represent blood, ascites, primary tumor, omentum metastases and pelvic lymph node, respectively. **b**, Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) plot

showing 14 clusters of n = 10 patients with HGSOC identified by integrated analysis. Each dot corresponds to a single cell, colored by clusters. NK, natural killer; HSC, hematopoietic stem cell. **c**, Heat map depicting expression levels of selected highly expressed genes (including marker genes) across major clusters of HGSOC. Rows represent genes and columns represent clusters. **d**, Tissue preference of each major cluster in HGSOC estimated by  $R_{o/e}$ . **e**, UMAP plots showing the distinct cell composition of five different sample sites in patients with HGSOC. For **b**–**e**, a total of n = 31 HGSOC samples, including n = 5 PB, n = 4PLN, n = 10 Pri.OT, n = 4 Met.Ome and n = 8 ascites samples were analyzed.

T cells had poor migration capability<sup>18</sup>, this was seemingly logical as these  $T_{EX}$  cells would recognize the same tumor-derived neoantigens in different tissues.

To decipher the potential developmental trajectories of T cells, we performed PAGA<sup>23</sup> and Palantir<sup>24</sup> analysis, excluding two unconventional clusters due to their distinct TCR characteristics. We noticed that ascites-enriched *GZMK*<sup>+</sup> T<sub>EM</sub> (T08) was located centrally bridging T<sub>N</sub> (T06), T<sub>EX</sub> (T09) and T<sub>eff</sub> (T10) cells (Fig. 2d and Extended Data Fig. 4b), indicating their intermediate states. In addition, STARTRAC pairwise transition analysis based on TCR sharing also showed that *GZMK*<sup>+</sup> T<sub>EM</sub>

exhibited a high ability of transition to  $T_{eff}$ ,  $ANXA2^{+} T_{EM}$  and  $T_{EX}$  cells (Fig. 2e and Extended Data Fig. 4c), further supporting our inferred trajectory analyses. As reported, CD8<sup>+</sup> GZMK<sup>+</sup> T cells were defined as 'pre-exhausted' cells within tumors, which were accumulated by local expansion and replenishment and could further transit to terminal exhausted T cells<sup>11,25</sup>. Likewise, compared to other T cells, TO8 GZMK<sup>+</sup> T<sub>EM</sub> in our study also harbored a higher ability to transit into T<sub>EX</sub> cells (Fig. 2e and Extended Data Fig. 4c), suggesting transition from GZMK<sup>+</sup> T<sub>EM</sub> as an important source of T<sub>EX</sub> cells. Given that GZMK<sup>+</sup> T<sub>EM</sub> cells were mostly enriched in ascites, their transitions to tumor-enriched clusters





between *GZMK*<sup>+</sup> T<sub>EM</sub> and other CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and the vertical red box refers to the transition between other CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and T<sub>EX</sub> cells. **f**, Bar plots showing proportions of shared TCRs between *GZMK*<sup>+</sup> T<sub>EM</sub> (T08) and *ANXA2*<sup>+</sup> T<sub>EM</sub> (T07) (left) or T<sub>EX</sub> (T10) (right) corrected by cell numbers of *ANXA2*<sup>+</sup> T<sub>EM</sub> (T07) or T<sub>EX</sub> (T10) in sampled tissues, respectively. **g**, Bar plots showing proportions of shared TCRs between *GZMK*<sup>+</sup> T<sub>EM</sub> (T08) and *ANXA2*<sup>+</sup> T<sub>EM</sub> (T07) (top) or T<sub>EX</sub> (T10) (bottom) corrected by cell numbers of *GZMK*<sup>+</sup> T<sub>EM</sub> (T08) in ascites. **h**, The distribution of clonal clonotypes in indicated CD8<sup>+</sup> subsets derived from ascites and two tumor sites. For **a,b,d**, data were summarized from all *n* = 31 HGSOC samples. For **c,e-h**, all *n* = 30 HGSOC samples except for the primary tumor sample of HGSOC7 were analyzed. AS, ascites; PT, primary ovarian tumor; MT, omentum metastatic tumor.

#### Article



**Fig. 3** | **Characterization and dynamics of CD4**<sup>+</sup>**T cells in HGSOC. a**, Clonal expansion, migration and transition potential of CD4<sup>+</sup>**T** cells quantified by STARTRAC indices. Indices were quantified for each n = 9 patient with more than two matched samples. Center line indicates the median value, lower and upper hinges represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively and whiskers denote  $1.5 \times$  interquartile range. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001; permutation test (exact P values are provided in source data). **b**, PAGA analysis of CD4<sup>+</sup>T cells. Each dot represents a T cell cluster. In total n = 31 HGSOC samples were used for analysis. **c**, Heat map showing the developmental transition potential between CD4<sup>+</sup>T cells quantified by pairwise STARTRAC-tran indices. The red box represents the transition between T<sub>CM</sub> and other CD4<sup>+</sup>T cells. **d**, The distribution of clonal

clonotypes in indicated CD4<sup>+</sup> subsets derived from ascites and two tumor sites. **e**, Bar plots showing proportions of shared TCRs between  $T_{CM}$  (T02) and  $T_{H}$ 1-like cells (T05) corrected by cell numbers of  $T_{CM}$  (T02) in ascites, related to Extended Data Fig. 5e. **f**, Frequency of  $T_{H}$ 1-like cells as a proportion of all CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells in n = 4Met.Ome and n = 10 Pri.OT samples from ten patients with HGSOC. Center line indicates the median value, lower and upper hinges represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively and whiskers indicates min to max. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001; unpaired two-sided *t*-test. **g**, Sketch map showing the dynamics of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (top) and CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells (bottom) between ascites and two tumor sites. For **a**, **c**-**e**, data were summarized from all n = 30 HGSOC samples except for the primary tumor sample of HGSOC7.

 $(T_{EX} \text{ and } ANXA2^+ T_{EM})$  might happen together with cross-tissue migration. Thus, we further checked TCR sharing between  $GZMK^+ T_{EM}$  and  $T_{EX}/ANXA2^+ T_{EM}$  across different tissues and found that  $T_{EX}$  and  $ANXA2^+ T_{EM}$  cells in tumor sites shared more TCR clones with ascites-derived

 $GZMK^* T_{EM}$  cells than tumor-derived  $GZMK^* T_{EM}$  cells (Fig. 2f). The results indicated that ascites-derived  $GZMK^* T_{EM}$  cells might serve as an important source of T cells infiltrating into tumor sites and further transit into  $T_{EX}$  or  $ANXA2^* T_{EM}$ . Furthermore,  $GZMK^* T_{EM}$  in ascites shared more TCR clones with  $T_{EX}$  or ANXA2<sup>+</sup>  $T_{EM}$  cells in Met.Ome than in Pri.OT (Fig. 2g), reflecting a preference of ascites-derived  $GZMK^{+}T_{EM}$  infiltrating into Met.Ome. Then, we checked the TCRs shared among ascites-derived  $T_{EM}$  (T08) and tumor-derived T07, T08 and  $T_{EX}$  (T10) to confirm the connections between ascites  $T_{EM}$  and tumor  $T_{EX}$  cells. Of note, tumor T<sub>FX</sub> (T10) clones linked to ascites-derived GZMK<sup>+</sup> T<sub>FM</sub> showed mutually exclusive patterns with tumor T10 clones linked to T07 and T08 clusters in tumors (Fig. 2h). Considering the hard-to-reverse nature of exhaustion and the lack of mobility of T<sub>FX</sub> cells, these results further support the notion that  $T_{FX}$  cells in tumor may be derived from  $GZMK^+$ T<sub>FM</sub> in ascites, in a process including cross-tissue migration and state transition. Moreover, we checked whether the TCR clones shared by ascites  $T_{FM}$  (T08) and tumor  $T_{FX}$  (T10) also existed in blood or lymph nodes. We found that the majority of TCR clones shared by ascites T<sub>EM</sub> (T08) and  $T_{FX}$  cells (T10) from primary tumor (61.73%) or metastatic tumor (77.8%) could not be detected in blood or lymph node-derived T cells (Fig. 2h and Extended Data Fig. 4d), further supporting the idea that ascites  $T_{FM}$  cells could be an important direct source for TILs. To find the clues about where these TCR clones that are undetected in blood/lymph nodes might come from, we examined the origins of all ascites-enriched  $T_{FM}$  cells (T08). We found that the TCRs in 15.36% ascites T<sub>EM</sub> (T08) cells could be detected in both blood and lymph nodes, whereas 9.57% and 3.34% ascites clonal T<sub>EM</sub> shared TCRs only with blood T cells or lymph node T cells, respectively (Extended Data Fig. 4e). Taken together, these findings provide insights into the cycle of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in OC and suggest that ascites-derived GZMK<sup>+</sup> T<sub>FM</sub> cells might serve as a direct source of tumor-infiltrating  $T_{FX}$  cells.

Similar analyses were also performed on CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells to quantify their tissue distributions and TCR sharing. In contrast to CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells showed an overall lower clonal expansion. Among these clusters, CD4<sup>+</sup>T<sub>eff</sub> cells exhibited the highest clonal expansion, migration and transition indexes (Fig. 3a), similar to the observations in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. The inferred developmental trajectories also exhibited a similar branched structure.  $T_N$  (T01),  $T_H$ 1-like (T05) and  $T_{reg}$  (T03) cells were positioned at three different branches whereas  $T_{CM}$  (T02) cells were located in the middle (Fig. 3b and Extended Data Fig. 5a). In addition, pairwise transition analysis based on TCR sharing (Fig. 3c and Extended Data Fig. 5b) and the shared TCR pattern among T02, T03 and T05 (Extended Data Fig. 5c,d) also revealed that T<sub>CM</sub> cells were associated with  $T_{eff}$  and  $T_{H}$ -like cells, suggesting  $T_{CM}$  as potential precursors of CXCL13<sup>+</sup>  $T_{H}$ 1-like cells. Given that  $T_{CM}$  cells were enriched in ascites, whereas T<sub>H</sub>1-like cells were enriched in tumors (Fig. 2b), their transition was accompanied by the ascites to tumors cross-tissue migration of CD4<sup>+</sup> memory T cells. Then, we noticed that the TCR clones shared by tumor  $T_{H}$ 1-like cells and  $T_{CM}$  in ascites were almost undetected in any other T cells from tumor, blood and lymph nodes (Fig. 3d and Extended Data Fig. 5e), implying that ascites-derived T<sub>CM</sub> cells might be a direct source of  $T_H$ 1-like cells in tumors. Additionally, we observed more shared TCR clones between  $T_{CM}$  in ascites and  $T_{H}$ -like cells in Met. Ome compared to that in Pri.OT (Fig. 3e and Extended Data Fig. 5e), suggesting that ascites-derived T<sub>CM</sub> cells were more likely to infiltrate into Met.Ome. Such a tissue preference of T<sub>CM</sub> cell infiltration could be

**Fig. 4** | **Two distinct functional states of tumor-enriched and ascites-enriched macrophages in HGSOC. a**, UMAP projection of 15 myeloid clusters colored by clusters (left) and heat map showing expression patterns of selected genes across indicated clusters (right). **b**, Tissue preference of each myeloid cluster estimated by the  $R_{o/e^*}$  **c**, Hierarchical clustering comparing the similarity of myeloid cell clusters in our dataset (OC) with those reported in CRC and HCC. Clusters were colored by dataset. n = 3 tumor types were used for analysis. **d**, Frequency of DC subclusters as a proportion of all DCs in ascites from n = 6platinum-sensitive patients and n = 2 platinum-resistant patients. Center line indicates the median value, bottom and top hinges represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively and whiskers denote 1.5 × interquartile range. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001; two-sided *t*-test. **e**, Differentially expressed genes a potential explanation for the relative enrichment of  $T_{\rm H}$  1-like cells in Met.Ome than Pri.OT (Fig. 3f).

Collectively, through integrated analysis of single-cell transcriptome and TCR data, we identified multiple T cell populations with distinct distribution patterns and revealed unique dynamics of T cells from ascites to tumor sites in OC. We found that ascites-enriched memory T cells (CD8<sup>+</sup> *GZMK*<sup>+</sup> T<sub>EM</sub> and CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>CM</sub>) could be a potential important pool for TILs, including CD8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>EX</sub> and CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>H</sub>1-like cells, especially for Met.Ome (Fig. 3g). These results implicate a potential role of ascites in shaping the TME of OC during T cell infiltration.

#### DC subsets show tissue-specific patterns

For myeloid cells, unsupervised clustering gave rise to 15 clusters with distinct gene signatures (Fig. 4a). HLA<sup>hi</sup>CD14<sup>-</sup>DC subsets (M01-M04) were further distinguished as CD1C<sup>+</sup> DCs (cDC2), CLEC9A<sup>+</sup> DCs (cDC1), LAMP3<sup>+</sup> DCs and LGALS2<sup>+</sup> DCs. Notably, the LAMP3<sup>+</sup> DC cluster was also annotated as 'mregDC' for its high expression of maturation and immunoregulatory marker genes (such as CCR7, IL12B, CD274, PDCD1LG2 and LAMP3), a cellular state induced upon uptake of tumor antigens<sup>26</sup> (Extended Data Fig. 6a). In line with the tissue distribution patterns reported in other cancer types<sup>27</sup>, LAMP3<sup>+</sup> DCs showed relatively comparable enrichment in tumor and lymph nodes. As LAMP3<sup>+</sup> DCs exhibited increased expression of genes encoding a co-stimulatory molecule such as CD40, which is associated with interaction between myeloid cells and T cells<sup>28</sup>, and *IL12B*, which promotes  $T_{\rm H}1$  development<sup>29</sup> (Extended Data Fig. 6a), we speculate that LAMP3<sup>+</sup> DCs might also help potentiate the infiltration and differentiation of T<sub>H</sub>1-like cells in ovarian tumors. This could explain the higher enrichment indexes of both LAMP3<sup>+</sup> DCs and T<sub>H</sub>1-like T cells in Met.Ome than in Pri.OT (Fig. 4b). Notably, we did not detect many conventional DCs (cDCs) in tumor tissues as reported in recent studies<sup>27</sup>, but instead observed their specific relative enrichment in malignant ascites (Fig. 4b and Extended Data Fig. 6b,c). To further elucidate the functions and relationships between different myeloid clusters, we performed similarity analysis of myeloid cells in our dataset with those reported in colorectal cancer (CRC)<sup>28</sup> and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)<sup>27</sup> (Fig. 4c). As expected, both cDC1 and cDC2 from different cancer types or tissue sources were clustered together, indicating their conserved phenotypes (Fig. 4c and Extended Data Fig. 6d). We also checked the potential origins of LAMP3<sup>+</sup> DC in tumor and observed more cDC2-derived LAMP3<sup>+</sup> DC (Extended Data Fig. 6e), which could be associated with higher proportions of cDC2 in ascites.

In addition, we noticed that the distribution of DC clusters was correlated with chemotherapy responses. Notably, among all DCs, the proportion of M01\_DC-CD1C (cDC2) significantly increased in ascites of nonresponsive patients, whereas the M02\_DC-CLEC9A (cDC1) proportion decreased (Fig. 4d). Although the previous studies reported that the protumor or antitumor responses of cDCs are uncertain among various types of tumors<sup>30</sup>, our observations indicated that cDC1 and cDC2 cells in the OC ascites might function in an opposite fashion in responses to platinum-based chemotherapy, which remains to be confirmed by further studies.

between TeMs (M07, M10 and M12) and AeMs (M08, M09, M11 and M14) (left). *P* value < 0.05; two-sided Wilcoxon test adjusted by the Benjamini–Hochberg (BH) procedure;  $\log_2(FC) > 0.5$ . n = 10 primary tumor, n = 4 matched omentum metastatic tumor and n = 8 ascites samples from ten patients with HGSOC were used for analysis. IFN, interferon; FDR, false discovery rate; FC, fold change. **f**, Dot plot showing the mean interaction strength for selected ligand–receptor pairs among macrophages and T cell clusters in tumors. Dot size indicates percentage of ligand–receptor expression in cells of one cluster, colored by average ligand– receptor expression level. n = 10 primary tumor and n = 4 matched omentum metastatic tumor from ten patients with HGSOC were used for analysis. For **a**,**b**, data were summarized from all n = 31 HGSOC samples.

#### Tumor-enriched and ascites-enriched macrophages

As for the monocyte/macrophage compartment, two blood-enriched clusters (M05 and M06) were characterized as *CD14*<sup>+</sup> monocyte and

*FCGR3A*<sup>+</sup> nonclassical monocytes, respectively. The remaining clusters were all identified as macrophages (M07–M15) based on the high expression of *CD68* (Fig. 4a). Notably, macrophages detected in tumor



and ascites were clustered primarily by their tissue distribution. Excluding the proliferating macrophages (M13 and M15), clusters showing relatively comparable enrichment in tumor sites (M07, M10 and M12) were denoted as tumor-enriched macrophages (TeMs), whereas the remaining clusters that showed relatively preferential enrichment in ascites (M08, M09, M11 and M14) were named as ascites-enriched macrophages (AeMs) (Fig. 4b). Among TeMs, *C3*<sup>+</sup>M12 was the dominant subset distributed in both Pri.OT and Met.Ome, whereas *EREG*<sup>+</sup> M07 and *C1QA*<sup>+</sup> M10 tended to be enriched in Met.Ome. Likewise, four AeM subsets were further marked by their featured genes, leading to the classification of *FN1*<sup>+</sup> M08, *FABPS*<sup>+</sup> M09, *VCAN*<sup>+</sup> M11 and *FOLR2*<sup>+</sup> M14.

To further understand the heterogeneity of macrophage subsets across different tissues and tumor types, we also evaluated the similarities between macrophage clusters in our study and those reported in HCC and CRC, as mentioned above. C3<sup>+</sup> TeMs (M12) and C1QA<sup>+</sup> TeMs (M10) were clustered into the same branch, resembling the  $IL1B^+$  macro and C1QC<sup>+</sup> TAMs identified in colon cancer, respectively (Fig. 4c). These clusters highly expressed C1QA and major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II molecules associated with antigen presentation (Fig. 4a and Extended Data Fig. 6d). Notably, C3<sup>+</sup> TeMs not only expressed genes related to phagocytosis and inflammation (C3, CCL4 and TNF)<sup>31</sup>, but also upregulated transcriptomic programs associated with the response to tumors (APOE, SPP1 and TGFBI)<sup>32,33</sup> (Fig. 4a and Extended Data Fig. 6d), which was distinct from the IL1B<sup>+</sup> macro in CRC<sup>28</sup>. Conversely, EREG<sup>+</sup> TeMs (M07) exhibited high expression of chemokines like CCL20, CCL4, CXCL10, CXCL8 and angiogenesis-related gene VEGFA, as well as low expressions of HLA-related genes, resembling the SPP1+ TAM identified in CRC (Fig. 4a, c and Extended Data Fig. 6d). Among AeM cells, FABP5+ AeM (M09), FOLR2<sup>+</sup> AeM (M14) and FN1<sup>+</sup> AeM (M08) were all clustered into the same branch with HCC ascites-enriched C6-MARCO, likely reflecting the environmental plasticity of macrophages. Of note, VCAN+ AeM (M11), characterized by high expression of transcripts associated with monocytes (VCAN, S100A9 and S100A12)<sup>34</sup>, was clustered into the same branches with tumor-enriched C5-VCAN and ascites-enriched C1-THBS1 in HCC dataset and FCN1<sup>+</sup> mono-like cells in CRC (Fig. 4c and Extended Data Fig. 6d). These two macrophages in HCC were defined as myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) in the same differentiation lineage<sup>27</sup>. Therefore, VCAN<sup>+</sup> AeMs (M11) in our study were more likely to be MDSCs distributed in ascites.

We next investigated the different functional states of TeMs (M07, M10 and M12) and AeMs (M08, M09, M11 and M14). We observed that TeMs predominantly expressed MHC class II molecules and CD74, which are essential for antigen processing and presentation to CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells. TeMs also upregulated the expressions of VEGFA, implying a role for tissue macrophages in promoting tumor angiogenesis. Moreover, we observed upregulated chemokines (such as CCL3/4/5 and CXCL10/11/12) expression in TeMs (Fig. 4e), suggesting the importance of tumor macrophages in recruiting T cells<sup>35,36</sup>. Cell-cell interaction analysis within tumor tissues also confirmed that TeMs participated actively in the recruitment of T cells through CXCL10/11-CXCR3, CCL3/4/5-CCR5 and CXCL12-CXCR4 signaling (Fig. 4f). In primary tumors, EREG<sup>+</sup> macro (M07) expressed increased levels of CXCL10/11, whereas C3<sup>+</sup> macro (M12) highly expressed CXCL12; however, in metastatic tumors, it was surprising to find that the dominant source of CXCL10/11 was switched from EREG<sup>+</sup> M07 to C3<sup>+</sup> M12 and C1QA<sup>+</sup> M10 upregulated the expression level of CXCL12, indicating a reprogramming of macrophages in metastatic tumors. In addition, EREG<sup>+</sup> TeM (M07) and C3<sup>+</sup> TeM (M12) also showed preferential expression of molecule CCL4 and CCL5, which binds to CCR4 and CCR8, receptors highly expressed by CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>reg</sub> cells. We also found very similar interaction patterns between TeMs and ascites T cells (Extended Data Fig. 7a). Collectively, our data suggested the function of TeMs in recruiting T cells and shaping an immunosuppressive niche in tumors.

By contrast, AeMs exhibited high expression levels of *S100A* family (*S100A8* and *S100A9*) associated with tumor progression<sup>37</sup>

and relatively lower levels of *HLA-II* genes (Fig. 4e), indicative of a dysfunctional state of macrophages which further contributed to a protumor environment in ascites. Moreover, AeMs also showed strong enrichment of leukocyte migration pathway, with specifically upregulated expression level of *CCR1* (Fig. 4e). Notably, we also noticed that AeMs highly expressed *LYVE1* and *CD163* (Fig. 4e), signature genes of tissue-resident macrophages (RTMs) found in multiple human tissues<sup>38</sup>, implying that RTMs might be an important source of macrophages in ascites.

#### Dichotomous ontogeny of TeMs and AeMs in OC

Recent studies in mice have suggested that tumor-associated macrophages could have both RTM and monocyte origins<sup>39</sup>. Here, to further infer the ontogenv of TeMs and AeMs, we defined an RTM score using a set of tissue-resident relevant genes, including CD163, LYVE1, FOLR2, MRC1 and TIMD4 (Fig. 5a,b)<sup>39-41</sup>. Two of three TeM subsets (M07 and M12) showed much lower RTM scores compared to M10, whereas about half of cells from AeM clusters (M09 and M14) had relatively higher RTM scores (Fig. 5a). Additionally, a set of monocyte-derived macrophage-associated genes were used to complement the analysis of macrophage origins. The results displayed a similar trend, with M07 and M12 exhibiting the highest potential of monocyte-derived ontogeny (Extended Data Fig. 7b)<sup>28,42</sup>. These findings implied that macrophages identified in OC had two possible origins, with monocyte-derived macrophages as the dominant components in tumors and RTMs accounting for a large part in ascites-enriched subsets. As reported, although RTMs in adult tissues are gradually replaced by circulating monocytes, there constantly exists a self-maintenance population of RTMs arising from embryonic precursors<sup>43</sup>. To explore the extent to which embryonic peritoneal macrophages contribute to ascites-enriched RTMs, we employed Ms4a3<sup>Cre</sup>-Rosa<sup>TdT</sup> monocyte fate-mapping mouse models<sup>42</sup> to precisely quantify the different ontogeny of macrophages in malignant ascites of ovarian tumor-bearing mouse. Based on the flow cytometry data, nearly half of the AeMs were embryonic-derived macrophages with ~45% proportion of tdTomato<sup>-</sup> cells (Extended Data Fig. 7c). Further, ~70% CD163<sup>+</sup>TIM4<sup>+</sup> RTMs in malignant ascites were contributed by embryonic precursors (Fig. 5c and Extended Data Fig. 7d,e). These results implied that embryonic macrophages as an important resource of AeMs, contributing to the maintenance of RTMs in the peritoneal microenvironment in OC.

Subsequently, we characterized the distinct signatures of TeMs or AeMs with divergent ontogeny. RTM-derived M10 expressed significantly higher levels of complement C1Q genes and HLA-II related genes (HLA-DRA, HLA-DPB1 and HLA-DQA1) (Fig. 5d). By contrast, monocyte-derived M07 showed specific expression of VEGFA, IL1B and TNF. The pathway analysis also revealed a strong enrichment of complement activation and antigen processing and presentation pathways in RTM-derived M10, whereas tumor angiogenesis, response to IL-1 and NF-kB pathways were significantly increased in monocyte-derived M07 (Fig. 5d). Multicolor imaging data further confirmed the coexistence of monocyte-derived M07 EREG<sup>+</sup> macro and RTM-derived M10 C1QA+ macro in ovarian tumors (Extended Data Fig. 7f). Next, we compared the distinct biological features of ascites-enriched RTMs (M09 and M14) and monocyte-derived AeMs (M08 and M11). RTMs in ascites exhibited higher expression levels of complement C1Q genes (Extended Data Fig. 7g), consistent with the tumor-enriched RTMs. Besides, ascites-enriched RTMs expressed specifically increased levels of FABP5, associated with tumor regulation<sup>44</sup> and CCL2 molecule responsible for monocyte recruitment (Extended Data Fig. 7g). Bulk RNA sequencing of tumor-bearing fate-mapping mice models also confirmed the upregulation of C1q genes, Fabp5 and RTM signature genes, including Timd4 and Cd163 in ascites-enriched embryonic macrophages (Fig. 5e and Extended Data Fig. 7h), further confirming that embryonic macrophages might be a major source of RTMs in the ascites of patients with OC. Of note, we observed that

#### Article



Significant • FDR < 0.05 and logFC > 0.5 • Not significant

**Fig. 5** | **Two different origins of tumor-enriched and ascites-enriched macrophages in HGSOC. a**, Bar plot showing the mean expression levels of tissue-resident marker genes in all macrophage clusters. Center line indicates the median value, lower and upper hinges represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively and whiskers denote  $1.5 \times$  interquartile range. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001, two-sided *t*-test, adjusted by the BH procedure. **b**, Expression levels of tissue-resident relevant genes in seven macrophage clusters. Rows represent clusters and columns represent genes. **c**, Quantification of tdTomato<sup>-</sup> or tdTomato<sup>+</sup> macrophages as a percentage of total CD163<sup>+</sup> TIM4<sup>+</sup> RTMs in n = 4 independent experiments using n = 4 mice ascites samples, related to Extended Data Fig. 7d. Center line indicates the median value, bottom and top hinges represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively and whiskers indicates min to max. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001, unpaired two-sided *t*-test. **d**, Differentially expressed genes (left) and differentially activated pathways (right) between tissue-resident macrophages (M10) versus monocyte-derived macrophages (M07) in tumor sites (left). Genes, *P* value < 0.05, two-sided Wilcoxon test adjusted by the BH procedure;  $log_2(fold change) > 0.5$ . Pathways, Gene Ontology (GO), adjusted *P* value by the BH procedure <0.05. *n* = 10 primary tumor and *n* = 4 matched omentum metastatic tumor from ten patients with HGSOC were used for analysis. **e**, Heat map showing expression levels of tissue-resident marker genes in macrophages of mouse ascites using ascites samples from *n* = 4 mice. AeEM, ascitesenriched embryonic macrophage; AeMM, ascites-enriched monocyte-derived macrophage. Rows represent repetitive samples and columns represent genes. For **a**,**b**, data were summarized from all *n* = 31 HGSOC samples.

ascites-enriched RTMs expressed lower levels of CD74 and HLA-II related genes than monocyte-derived AeMs, contrary to the observations of TeMs (Extended Data Fig. 7g), likely reflecting the different ontogeny of RTMs in ascites and tumor tissues. Furthermore, we compared the differences between RTMs distributed in tumor and ascites. Ascites-enriched RTMs (M09 and M14) exhibited specific enrichment of oxidative phosphorylation and metabolic-related pathways, whereas tumor-enriched RTMs (M10) significantly upregulated immune response and immune cell migration pathways (Extended Data Fig. 7i). Notably, RTMs in ascites also showed specific high expression of CCL2, which mediates the recruitment of CCR2<sup>+</sup> monocytes<sup>45</sup>. Taken together, our analyses establish the connections between macrophage ontogeny-specific features and their various functions in tumor growth. Further studies will be needed to fully discriminate macrophage ontogeny and to attribute the specific functional profile of these macrophages to their ontogenies.

#### Stromal cells contribute to shaping the ascites TME

For nonimmune cells, we first dissected the gene signatures and tissue distributions of all 19 stromal clusters revealed in this study (Fig. 6a,b and Extended Data Fig. 8a–c), including 9 fibroblast clusters (*COL1A2<sup>+</sup> PDGFRA<sup>+</sup>*), 4 mesothelial cell (MC) clusters (*MSLN<sup>+</sup>UPK3B<sup>+</sup>*), 4 clusters of pericytes (*CSPG4<sup>+</sup>TRPC6<sup>+</sup>*) and 2 vascular smooth muscle cell clusters (*MYH11<sup>+</sup>CNN1<sup>+</sup>*)<sup>46,47</sup>. Among MCs, *DES<sup>+</sup>* MC (S11) was the dominant stromal cluster in ascites (Fig. 6b,c), which was confirmed by multicolor immunohistochemistry (Fig. 6d). In contrast, *VCAN<sup>+</sup>* MCs (S13) were highly enriched in Met.Ome (Fig. 6b and Extended Data Fig. 8b,c). It has been shown previously that MCs undergo morphological changes and detach from the peritoneal surface during OC peritoneal metastases<sup>16</sup>. We therefore compared the expression levels of cell-adhesion-associated genes (*CD44, ICAM1, ITGAV, ITGB1, ITGB8, VCAM1, VCAN, CADM3* and *CLDN1*) in tumor-derived MCs and found the lowest expression in *DES<sup>+</sup>* MCs (Fig. 6e and Extended Data Fig. 8d),

suggesting that *DES*<sup>+</sup> MCs were more likely to fall off into the ascites from tumor tissues. Meanwhile, we observed a significantly decreased cell adhesion potential of MCs in Met.Ome compared to that in Pri.OT (Fig. 6f). These analyses indicated that the loss of cell–cell adhesions could be a reason for MCs to shed from the omentum into ascites, which provides a favorable condition for tumor cell metastasis and colonization.

Notably, DES<sup>+</sup> MCs showed high expression of CXCL12, CXCL13 and CXCL16 (Extended Data Fig. 8e), reminiscent of the recently reported immunomodulatory cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) identified in ascites<sup>15</sup>. By integrating our dataset with that of CAFs in OC ascites, we further confirmed the similarities between DES<sup>+</sup> MCs in our study and the immunomodulatory CAFs<sup>15</sup> (Fig. 6g and Extended Data Fig. 8f). We also observed that DES<sup>+</sup> MCs had high potential to extensively interact with memory T cells and macrophages (Fig. 6h,i). One of the significantly enriched ligand-receptor pairs was CXCL12-CXCR4, which is associated with recruitment of immune cells<sup>48</sup>. This could help explain the underlying reasons for the abundance of immune cells in ascites and the inflammatory milieu of ascites. DES<sup>+</sup> MCs were also predicted to interact with macrophages and MAIT cells via C3-C3AR1 (ref. 49), which would lead to the further recruitment of these cells to enhance the inflammatory response in ascites (Fig. 6h). Taken together, the results indicate that DES<sup>+</sup> MCs might constitute a key cellular component that plays an important role in the regulation of inflammatory and immune responses in OC ascites.

# Endothelial cell phenotypes associated with chemotherapy response

Among all endothelial cells, E07 and E08 were annotated as lymphatic endothelial cells based on the expression of canonical marker *PROX1* (ref. 50), whereas other clusters were identified as vascular endothelium (Fig. 7a and Extended Data Fig. 9a). It has been reported that tumor angiogenesis mainly undergoes two alternate processes, including vessel sprouting by migrating tip endothelial cells and sprout elongating<sup>51</sup>, suggesting that the tip cells could accelerate angiogenesis whereas other endothelial cells were relatively more static. Here, cluster E03 showed high expression of genes associated with endothelial cell migration and matrix remodeling<sup>50</sup> (Fig. 7b and Extended Data Fig. 9b), resembling the tip cells detected in lung tumor, which indicated poor prognosis of patients<sup>50</sup>.

Further deciphering the transcriptional trajectories of endothelial cells using PAGA, we found that *IL13RA1*<sup>+</sup> E02 and *VCAM1*<sup>+</sup> E06, two major endothelial cell clusters in tumor tissues, exhibited unique features (Fig. 7c,d). We observed that *IL13RA1*<sup>+</sup> E02 showed closer connectivity with the tip-like cells (E03) and upregulated tip cells signatures, whereas *VCAM1*<sup>+</sup> E06 were positioned at another branch (Fig. 7b,d and Extended Data Fig. 9b). Notably, the proportion of *IL13RA1*<sup>+</sup> E02 was significantly increased in Pri.OT samples of non-responsive patients, whereas *VCAM1*<sup>+</sup> E06 was depleted in Pri.OT

Fig. 6 | Characterization of stromal cell clusters of HGSOC, especially *DES*<sup>+</sup> mesothelial cells in ascites. a, UMAP projection of 19 stromal cell clusters colored by clusters (left) and heat map showing expressions of selected genes across indicated clusters (right). b, Tissue preference of each stromal cell cluster estimated by the ratio of observed to expected cell numbers ( $R_{o/e}$ ). c, Frequency of each ascites-enriched stromal cell cluster as a proportion of all stromal cells in ascites, n = 8 ascites samples were analyzed. Center line indicates the median value, bottom and top hinges represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively and whiskers indicates min to max. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001, unpaired two-sided *t*-test. d, Representative example of ascites cell precipitation from one patient with HGSOC stained by multicolored immunohistochemistry and the corresponding quantification plot. Original magnification, ×20; scale bar, 50 µm. n = 3 individual patient samples were examined independently. e,f, Bar plots showing the geometric mean expression levels of adhesionassociated genes in three mesothelial cell clusters from a total of n = 14 HGSOC samples of platinum-resistant patients (Fig. 7e). Moreover, IL13RA1<sup>+</sup> E02 expressed higher levels of SPARC, COL4A1, COL4A2, ANGPT2 and ITGB1 (Fig. 7f), genes involved in vasculature development, epithelial cell proliferation and migration pathways (Fig. 7g), suggesting that IL13RA1<sup>+</sup> E02 could contribute to chemotherapy resistance by promoting tumor angiogenesis and migration. In contrast, VCAM1+ E06 showed preferential expression of HLA-II related molecules and ACKR1, a marker of venular endothelium and with a known role in adhesive leukocyte-endothelial interactions<sup>52</sup> (Fig. 7f,g), indicating that VCAM1<sup>+</sup> E06 might assist lymphocytes infiltration and participate in antigen processing and presentation to enhance the chemotherapy sensitivity. Therefore, we hypothesized that the relative proportions of IL13RA1<sup>+</sup> versus VCAM1<sup>+</sup> endothelial cells might serve as a biomarker to predict the benefit from chemotherapy. Furthermore, we also examined whether IL13RA1+ and VCAM1+ endothelial clusters were associated with the long-term prognosis of HGSOC patients using data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA). We found that patients highly expressing the top 20 signature genes of IL13RA1<sup>+</sup> E02 had shorter overall survival (Fig. 7h), further confirming their functions in tumor angiogenesis; however, signature genes of VCAM1+ E06 were not significantly correlated with clinical outcomes of patients with HGSOC (Extended Data Fig. 9d). We also used another independent microarray dataset to validate these results (Extended Data Fig. 9e,f).

#### MAIT in ascites as potential predictors of platinum response

It has been reported that ascites accumulated in patients with OC is associated with chemotherapy response and prognosis<sup>5</sup>. Here, we further investigated the distinct compositions of the ascites microenvironment between responsive and nonresponsive patients. Based on the linear model analysis of all ascites-derived T cells using Milo, we noticed that MAIT cells were highly enriched in ascites of responsive patients before therapy, which was supported by the  $R_{o/e}$  data (Fig. 8a,b). It has been reported that MAIT cells could accumulate and function in the peritoneal cavity during a pathological process or in the tumor tissues<sup>53,54</sup>. In our study, MAIT cells were mainly detected in PB and ascites (Fig. 2b). We were able to detect 50 unique shared TCR clones between ascites- and blood-derived MAIT cells (Fig. 8c), suggesting PB as a potential source of ascites MAIT cells. Moreover, ascites-enriched MAIT cells upregulated homing receptors CXCR3 and CXCR4, which bind to CXCL12 and CXCL10, molecules upregulated by other ascites-enriched cells (such as cDC1 and  $DES^+$  MC) (Fig. 8d-f). further supporting the chemotaxis of MAIT cells. Ascites-enriched MAIT cells also showed preferential expression of genes related to cell activation (TMIGD2, CCL4 and CCL5) (Fig. 8d,e), suggesting an activated status. We next compared the characteristics of ascites-enriched MAIT cells from responsive and nonresponsive patients. MAIT cells captured from responsive patients overexpressed genes associated with T cell activation, such as ZFP36, JUN, DUSP1, NCR3 and KLRB<sup>55-57</sup>, whereas MAIT cells of nonresponsive patients highly expressed genes related to

tumor samples (e) or in all mesothelial cells in n = 10 primary tumor, n = 4omentum metastasis and n = 8 ascites from ten patients with HGSOC, respectively (f). Center line indicates the median value, bottom and top hinges represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively and whiskers denote  $1.5 \times$  interquartile range. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001, two-sided Wilcoxon test. Each dot corresponds to a single cell. g, Hierarchical clustering comparing the similarity of stromal cell clusters in our dataset with those reported in OC ascites by Aviv. The clusters in black font were detected in our dataset. h, Bubble heat map showing the mean interaction strength for selected ligand–receptor pairs between *DES*<sup>+</sup> mesothelial cells and various immune cell clusters. Dot size indicates *P* value generated by permutation test, colored by interaction strength levels. *DES*<sup>+</sup> MCs were cells providing ligands. i, Chord diagram showing predicted cell–cell interactions of CXCL12–CXCR4 ligand pair between *DES*<sup>+</sup> mesothelial cells and various immune cell clusters in ascites. The arrow width indicates the interaction strength levels. For **a, b, h, i**, all n = 31 HGSOC samples were analyzed.





Significant 

FDR < 0.05 and logFC > 0.5

Not Significant

**Fig. 7** | **Characterization of endothelial cell phenotypes within two tumor sites in HGSOC. a**, UMAP projection of eight endothelial cell clusters colored by clusters (left) and heat map showing expression patterns of selected genes across indicated clusters (right). **b**, Bar plot showing the geometric mean expression levels of tip-like genes (referred to in Extended Data Fig. 9b) in eight endothelial cell (EC) clusters. Each dot corresponds to a single cell. Center line indicates the median value, bottom and top hinges represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively and whiskers denote 1.5 × interquartile range. **c**, Frequency of each endothelial cluster as a proportion of all endothelial cells in *n* = 10 primary tumor samples from ten patients with HGSOC. The center line indicates the median value, bottom and top hinges represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively and whiskers indicates min to max. Each dot corresponds to one sample. **d**, PAGA analysis of endothelial cells. Each dot represents a cell cluster.

immunosuppression such as *LAG3* and *IFITM3* (Fig. 8g), suggesting that MAIT cells in ascites from patients with HGSOC with different responses to chemotherapy also exhibited different functions and phenotypes. Altogether, these results indicated that immune-activated MAIT cells

e, Frequency of EO2 (left) and EO6 (right) cluster as a proportion of all endothelial cells in ten primary tumor samples from *n* = 7 platinum-sensitive and *n* = 3 platinum-resistant patients. Center line indicates the median value, bottom and top hinges represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively and whiskers denote 1.5 × interquartile range. \**P* < 0.05, \*\**P* < 0.01, \*\*\**P* < 0.001; two-sided *t*-test. **f**, Differentially expressed genes between EO2 and EO6 cluster. *P* value < 0.05; two-sided Wilcoxon test adjusted by the BH procedure; log<sub>2</sub>(FC) > 0.5. **g**, Differentially activated pathways between EO2 and EO6 cluster. GO, adjusted *P* value by the BH procedure <0.05. **h**, The Kaplan–Meier overall survival curves of patients with HGSOC grouped by the gene signature expression of *ll.13RA1*<sup>+</sup> ENDO cells. HR, hazard ratio. Multivariate Cox regression. *P* value was determined by Kaplan–Meier survival curves and log-rank test. For **a,b,d,f,g**, all *n* = 31 samples from ten patients with HGSOC were used for analysis.

might help patients benefit from chemotherapy, whereas MAIT cells in ascites of nonresponsive patients were more likely to be dysfunctional. Furthermore, the levels of activated MAIT cells in ascites could be a useful and noninvasive predictor of effective responses to chemotherapy.

#### Article



**Fig. 8** | **MAIT cells in ascites predict the chemotherapy efficacy of patients with HGSOC. a**, UMAP plot showing the distribution preference of MAIT cells in eight ascites samples from n = 6 platinum-responsive and n = 2 nonresponsive patients as calculated by Milo. Each dot represents a single cell. **b**, The treatmentsensitivity preference (responsive or nonresponsive to platinum-based chemotherapy) of each T cell cluster estimated by  $R_{o/e}$  score. n = 8 ascites samples from n = 6 platinum-responsive and n = 2 nonresponsive patients with HGSOC were used for analysis. **c**, The distribution of clonal clonotypes within the MAIT cluster in ascites and PB. Each row represents an individual clonotype. **d**, Volcano plot showing differentially expressed genes between MAIT cells in ascites versus PB. Genes, *P* value < 0.05, two-sided Wilcoxon test adjusted by the BH procedure;  $log_2(FC) > 0.2$ . **e**, Violin plots showing the expression levels of selected genes in MAIT cells derived from ascites and PB. **f**, Dot plot showing the mean interaction strength for selected ligand–receptor pairs among major immune and stromal cell clusters in ascites. n = 8 HGSOC ascites samples were analyzed. Dot size indicates percentage of ligand–receptor expression in cells of one cluster, colored by average ligand–receptor expression levels. **g**, Differentially expressed genes (left) and differentially activated pathways (right) between ascites-derived MAIT cells of n = 6 responsive versus n = 2 nonresponsive patients with HGSOC. SRP, signal recognition particle; ER, endoplasmic reticulum. Genes, *P* value < 0.05, two-sided Wilcoxon test adjusted by the BH procedure;  $log_2(FC) > 0.2$ . Pathways, GO, adjusted *P* value by the BH procedure < 0.05. For **c**-**e**, all n = 8 ascites sample and n = 5 blood samples from patients with HGSOC were used for analysis.

#### Discussion

Despite the usage of platinum-based chemotherapy and improved survival, most patients with advanced OC undergo relapse due to chemotherapy resistance<sup>58</sup>. Here, we applied scRNA-seq to five tissue types of 14 patients with OC with different sensitivities to chemotherapy and systematically dissected the complexity of TME as well as the connections among five tissues. Our analyses revealed that ascites-derived GZMK+ T<sub>EM</sub>, resembling the previously reported 'pre-exhausted' CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells within tumors<sup>11,13,25</sup>, might be a major source of tumor-infiltrating  $T_{FX}$ cells. These findings suggest that ascites-derived memory T cells could migrate into tumor sites, acting as an additional important cell pool for TILs. As reported, pre-exhausted GZMK<sup>+</sup> T subpopulation were regarded as pre-activated T cells which would accumulate in responsive lung cancer and melanoma tumors following immune-checkpoint-based treatment<sup>11</sup>. We suspected that accelerating the migration of ascites-derived GZMK<sup>+</sup> T<sub>FM</sub> cells into tumor sites could be a potential therapeutic strategy for OC. Moreover, we identified the proportions of MAIT cells in ascites as a potential predictive index in response to chemotherapy. Thus, our work on ascites-enriched T cells inspires us to rethink the functions of malignant ascites in shaping the tumor microenvironment. Future studies will be needed to fully understand the functional roles of these ascites T cells.

Here, we found that cDCs exhibited specific ascites-enriched distribution patterns in OC. We hypothesized that the presence of cDCs in ascites might serve as a potential source of *LAMP3*<sup>+</sup> DCs in tumor tissues as we found in T cells, which require additional in vivo lineage-tracing validation. Moreover, it has been shown that macrophages were highly heterogeneous in the tumor TME<sup>59</sup>. We identified that macrophages of different origins and phenotypes coexisted within the ovarian tumor and ascites, with TeMs functioning in immune regulation and AeMs being more pro-inflammatory. RTMs in tumor tissues have been reported to provide a pro-tumorigenic niche in lung cancer and the omentum of ovarian tumors<sup>60</sup>. Our data also indicated the potential function of tumor regulation and monocyte recruitment of ascites-enriched RTMs.

Ultimately, we identified specific populations of stromal cells playing important roles in tumor progression, such as *DES*<sup>+</sup> mesothelial cells in ascites and *IL13RA1*<sup>+</sup> endothelial cells in tumor site. Our findings reveal that ascites-enriched *DES*<sup>+</sup> MCs could help remold the microenvironment of ascites through recruiting T cells and macrophages via CXCL12–CXCR4. The chemokine CXCL12 is known to be expressed by CAFs and binds to the receptor CXCR4, mediating the recruitment of immune cells in tumors<sup>13</sup>. Further, *IL13RA1*<sup>+</sup> endothelial cells exhibited tip-like signatures involved in angiogenesis and were significantly enriched in platinum-resistant patients. Navigating tip cells usually lead the way during vessel sprouting, which could facilitate tumor progression and implies a worse prognosis<sup>50</sup>. These observations suggest that the abundance of *IL13RA1*<sup>+</sup> tip-like endothelial cells might activate angiogenesis and further influence chemotherapy resistance.

In conclusion, we depicted a comprehensive atlas of the OC microenvironment and revealed the connections between ascites and two tumor sites. Our work provided additional insights into the biological factors that help remodel the OC TME and identified specific cell subpopulations that might serve as potential predictive markers for chemotherapy and prognostic markers of long-term survival, as well as new therapeutic targets or strategies for overcoming platinum resistance and immune suppression.

#### Methods

This study complies with all relevant ethical regulations and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Xinhua Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine and Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center. Written informed consent was provided by all participants. Fourteen patients pathologically diagnosed with OC were enrolled in this study for single-cell sequencing. None of the patients had an autoimmune disorder or a history of previous cancer. Only one patient diagnosed with undifferentiated OC was treated with adjuvant chemotherapy. The disease stages of these patients were classified according to the 2018 International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics staging system. Fresh samples including primary ovarian tumor, omentum metastatic tumor, PLNs, malignant ascites and PB were obtained from the patients during surgery. The patients received upfront debulking surgery followed by at least six courses of platinum-based chemotherapy. Platinum resistance was defined as progression within 6 months after the last treatment course. Patients HGSOC3, HGSOC6, HGSOC7 and ECO1 were platinum-resistant (nonresponsive), whereas the other patients, except UOC1 were platinum sensitive (responsive). Patients ranged in age from 43 to 82 years old, with a median age of 62 years. Five more patients pathologically diagnosed with HGSOC (patients HGSOC11-HGSOC15) were enrolled in this study for flow cytometry analysis of T cells. The available clinical metadata of these patients are summarized in Supplementary Table 1.

#### In vivo mouse models

All animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Model Animal Research Center, Xinhua Hospital, Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine and were performed in compliance with the guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals. The maximal tumor burden was not exceeded for mouse tumor experiments on the requirement of our ethics committee. All Ms4a3<sup>TdT</sup> fate-mapping C57BL/6 mice were female and sourced from Florent Ginhoux Laboratories in Shanghai Institute of Immunology. All mice were provided with water and food and maintained in a pathogen-free facility (12-h light-dark cycle, room temperature at 20-4 °C and relative humidity kept at 45-65%) at the Model Animal Research Center, Xinhua Hospital, Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine. Mice were given an intraperitoneal injection with 106 ID8 cells in 500 µl sterile PBS (pH 7.4) to mimic the peritoneal spread of epithelial ovarian cancer when 4-5 weeks old. Details of cell lines are shown in Supplementary Table 6. For flow cytometry studies and bulk RNA-seq, bloody malignant ascites was collected 65 d after injection of tumor cells.

#### ScRNA-seq data generation

Fresh tumor and lymph node samples were cut into approximately 1-mm<sup>3</sup> pieces in RPMI-1640 medium (Invitrogen) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco) and enzymatically digested with a MACS Tumor Dissociation kit (Miltenyi) for 30 min using a gentleMACS Octo Dissociator (Miltenyi) at 37 °C. Dissociated cells were subsequently passed through a 70- $\mu$ m cell strainer (BD) and centrifuged at 400g for 10 min. The pelleted cells were then resuspended in red blood cell lysis buffer (Miltenyi) and incubated on ice for 5 min to lyse red blood cells. After washing twice with PBS (Invitrogen), cell pellets were resuspended in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS. PB mononuclear cells were isolated using a leukocyte separation solution (Sigma-Aldrich) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Malignant ascites samples were collected in 50-ml conical tubes (BD), followed by centrifugation for 10 min at 400g. The remaining pellet was washed twice with PBS and any residual red blood cells were lysed using the above-mentioned procedure. The concentration of single-cell suspensions was adjusted to about 500-1,200 cells per µl. Then, single-cell gene expression and immune repertoire measurements were conducted using the Chromium Single Cell V(D)J Reagent kit (10x Genomics) following the manufacturer's instructions. All subsequent steps were performed following the standard manufacturer protocols. Completed libraries were sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq6000 system.

#### ScRNA-seq data processing

Low-quality cells were filtered out if cells had fewer than 200 genes expressed or >10% unique molecular identifiers (UMIs) linked to mitochondrial genes. The gene expression matrices of the remaining cells were generated with log normalization and linear regression using the NormalizeData and ScaleData functions of the Seurat package (v.3.1.4). Cells with expression of more than one major cell marker were considered as doublets and removed from each cluster individually. The remaining cells that passed the filtering criteria were considered single cells. We also identified 2,010 platelets with high expression of *pro-platelet basic protein*. Almost all platelets were found in PB mononuclear cell samples and they are not discussed in this study. For visualization, the dimensionality of each dataset was further reduced using UMAP with the Seurat function Run-UMAP. The principal components (PCs) used to calculate the embedding were the same as those used for clustering.

# Unsupervised clustering and identification of cell subpopulations

After the main cell populations were identified by first-run clustering, we ran the Seurat pipeline for a second time. Unwanted effects caused by percentage of mitochondrial UMI counts were removed by regression in this run. The selection of the resolution on the characteristics of each dataset and the top n PCs from principal-component analysis were used for identification of clusters. For T lymphocytes, we performed extra batch correction across different samples with Harmony (v.1.0) at the default settings. Small clustering groups with expression of dual-lineage signatures, including EPCAM-PECAM1-CD3D, EPCAM-CD79A, PECAM1-CD79A and CD79A-CD3D, were removed from downstream analysis. For other cell types, we did not conduct any batch correction as no obvious clustering bias using raw transcripts per million-like expression data would affect our downstream analyses. Supplementary Table 5, showing the distribution of cell subclusters in five tissues and patients with HGSOC, was provided as diagnostic data to ensure that none of the clusters would arise from individual tissues or patients.

# Identification and analysis of malignant cells with CNV estimation

Copy number variation (CNV) for individual cells was estimated using inferCNV (v.1.2.1) with a 100-gene sliding window. The method to use for smoothing was pyramidal. Genes with an average read count <0.1 among reference cells were filtered when running inferCNV. Endothelial cells, stromal cells, lymphoid cells and myeloid cells were used to define the reference. Epithelial cells were used for the observations. Downsampling was conducted for both the reference and observations to increase the speed of analysis. Epithelial cells were classified to malignant cells using a similar method previously described by Wu et al.<sup>61</sup>

#### Tissue distribution of clusters

We calculated the  $R_{o/e}$  for each cluster in different tissues to quantify the tissue preference of each cluster<sup>18,25</sup>. The expected cell numbers for each combination of cell clusters and tissues were obtained from the chi-squared test. One cluster was identified as being enriched in a specific tissue if  $R_{o/e} > 1$ . For most clusters, we used the  $R_{o/e}$  index (+++,  $R_{o/e} > 3$ ; ++,  $1 < R_{o/e} \le 3$ ; +,  $0.2 \le R_{o/e} \le 1$ ; +/-,  $0 < R_{o/e} < 0.2$ ; and -,  $R_{o/e} = 0$ ) to define the cluster preference in a specific tissue. Furthermore, when analyzing the association between each T cell subset and treatment responses to platinum-based chemotherapy, we applied miloR (v.1.5.0), a differential abundance testing framework based on *K*-NN graphs and generalized linear models<sup>62</sup>.

#### TCR analysis

The TCR sequences for each single T cell from 10x Genomics were processed using CellRanger (v.3.0.2) with the manufacturer-supplied

human VDJ reference genome 'GRCh38-alts-ensembl'. If two or more cells had the same identical  $\alpha/\beta$  chain pair, the  $\alpha/\beta$  chain pair were identified as clonal TCRs and these T cells were considered to originate from the same clonotypes, identified as clonal cells. After integrating TCR results with the gene expression data of 10x Genomics data, we identified TCR  $\alpha/\beta$ -chain pairs for 59,334 cells. We then presented three STARTRAC indices to analyze different aspects of T cells based on paired single-cell transcriptomes and TCR sequences using STARTRAC (v.0.1.0) as previously described<sup>18</sup>. STARTRAC-expa, STARTRAC-migr and STARTRAC-tran are designed to measure the degree of clonal expansion, tissue migration and state transition of T cell clusters upon TCR tracking, respectively. The MAIT cells (T11) and  $\gamma\delta$ T cells (T12) were not included in these types of analyses because they have distinct TCRs.

#### Developmental trajectory inference

**PAGA.** To characterize the developmental origins of CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, respectively, we performed the partition-based graph abstraction method PAGA<sup>23</sup>, a part of the single-cell analysis package Scanpy (v.1.7.2) in Python (v.3.6.13)<sup>63</sup>, to infer the potential differential trajectory. Moreover, we used PAGA to assess the most likely trajectories of cell progression among endothelial cells in OC. The computations were carried out using default parameters. The edge connectivity between each subpopulation node for all edges are further compared by using an unpaired two-sided Student's *t*-test.

**Palantir.** We also applied Palantir<sup>24</sup> to complement the trajectory analysis using default parameters.

#### Comparison dendrograms for similarity analysis of clusters

For an unsupervised comparison of the myeloid clusters identified from multiple datasets, we identified the top 2,000 highly variable genes across different clusters, calculated the mean expression of these genes in each cluster and performed hierarchical clustering using the distance defined as (1 - Pearson correlation coefficient)/2. Here, we used the batch-corrected expression value from the CCA function of the Seurat package. For comparison of stromal cell clusters reported in OC ascites<sup>15</sup> and that detected in ascites in our study, we used the top 1,000 highly variable genes.

# Differential expression and Gene Ontology enrichment analysis

The significantly overexpressed marker genes for clusters were identified using the FindAllMarkers() function of Seurat. Genes with adjusted P value < 0.05 by Wilcoxon rank-sum test were defined as cluster-specific signature genes. For two different clusters, we used the Wilcoxon test to evaluate the significance of each gene, with multiple hypothesis correction using the BH procedure. Genes with adjusted P value <0.05 were considered as differentially expressed genes that were further used for GO enrichment analysis with the clusterProfiler package (v.3.14.3). GO terms with adjusted P values <0.05, using the BH procedure, were considered significant.

#### **RTM phenotype analysis**

To identify the origins of macrophages enriched in tumors and ascites, we used a panel of genes associated with tissue-resident macrophages/ monocytes to define the signature of macrophages in our study. The RTM/monocyte-like phenotype of each macrophage cluster was defined as the mean expression of gene signatures. *P* values were measured by two-sided *t*-test using Rstatix (v.0.7.0).

#### Cell-cell interaction analysis

We used cellphoneDB (v.3.0.0)<sup>64</sup> based on cellphoneDB database v.2.0.0 to infer cell-cell interactions of selected ligand-receptor pairs between tumor-enriched macrophages and T cell subsets,  $DES^+$  mesothelial

#### Article

cells and indicated immune cell subsets, as well as DC clusters and MAIT cells. The potential interaction strength between two cell subsets was predicted based on the expression of ligand-receptor pairs. The enriched ligand-receptor interactions between two cell subsets were calculated based on a permutation test. We extracted significant ligand-receptor pairs with a *P* value <0.01.

#### Survival analysis

The TCGA OC data and microarray data of GSE9891, GSE19829 to GPL8300 were used to evaluate the prognostic performance of gene sets derived from different EC clusters. We used the mean expression of signature genes for distinct cell clusters to evaluate the enrichment of corresponding EC types in patients diagnosed with HGSOC. Specifically, for *IL13RA1*<sup>+</sup> and *VCAM1*<sup>+</sup> EC clusters, we used the top 20 differentially expressed genes of these two clusters as signature genes to define their signatures, (provided in Source Data Fig. 7f). We performed survival analysis using the Cox proportional hazards model implemented in the R package survival (v.3.2.3) to correct patient age and plotted Kaplan–Meier survival curves using the R function ggsurvplot.

#### **Flow cytometry**

Fresh human tumor samples were cut into approximately 1-mm<sup>3</sup> pieces in RPMI-1640 medium (Invitrogen) with 10% FBS (Gibco) and enzymatically digested with the MACS Tumor Dissociation kit (Miltenyi) for 30 min using a gentleMACS Octo Dissociator (Miltenyi) at 37 °C. Dissociated cells were subsequently passed through a 70-µm cell strainer (BD) and centrifuged at 400g for 10 min. The pelleted cells were then resuspended in red blood cell lysis buffer (Miltenyi) and incubated on ice for 5 min to lyse red blood cells. After washing twice with PBS (Invitrogen), cell pellets were resuspended in FACS buffer and kept on ice until staining. Human ascites samples were collected in 50-ml conical tubes (BD) and mouse ascites samples were collected by syringe extraction from terminally anesthetized mice. The samples were centrifuged at 400g for 10 min to obtain cell precipitation. The pelleted cells were then lysed using the above-mentioned procedures. After washing twice with PBS (Invitrogen), cell pellets were resuspended in FACS buffer and keep on ice until staining. Antibodies used to analyze T cells included PerCP-conjugated CD45 (1:200 dilution, Invitrogen), BV570-conjugated CD3 (1:100 dilution, BioLegend), SFV430/780-conjugated CD4 (1:100 dilution, Yuangi), PerCP-iF710-conjugated CD8 (1:100 dilution, Yuangi), BV480-conjugated CD25 (1:100 dilution, BD), PE-Cy5-conjugated CD127 (1:100 dilution, BioLegend) and SB702-conjugated PD-1 (1:100 dilution, Invitrogen). Antibodies used to gate macrophages in mouse ascites included BV510-conjugated CD45 (1:200 dilution, BD), BV785-conjugated Ly6G (1:200 dilution, BD), PerCP-Cy5.5-conjugated CD11b (1:200 dilution, BD), BV650-conjugated F4/80 (1:200 dilution, BioLegend), BV421-conjugated TIM4 (1:200 dilution, BD), PE-CY7-conjugated CD163 (1:200 dilution, BioLegend) and 7AAD Viability Staining Solution (BD). The tdTomato signal was detected via the PE channel. Cells were maintained at 4 °C and analyzed on Cytek Aurora flow cytometer (Cytek Biosciences). Data were collected in SpectroFlo (v.3.0.0) and analyzed in FlowJo (v.10.6.2). Gating strategies of T cells are shown in Extended Data Fig. 10a-c and gating strategies for macrophages used for proportion analysis are presented in Extended Data Fig. 10d.

#### Bulk RNA-seq data generation and analysis

FACS analysis was used to isolate macrophages with or without tdTomato signals from mouse malignant ascites, performed on a BD Aria III instrument. Antibodies used in this section were APC/ cyanine7-conjugated CD45 (1:200 dilution, BD), FITC-conjugated Ly6G (1:200 dilution, BioLegend), PE/cyanine7-conjugated Siglec F (1:200 dilution, Invitrogen), BV785-conjugated Ly6C (1:200 dilution, BioLegend), BioLegend), BV650-conjugated CD11b (1:200 dilution, BioLegend),

Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated F4/80 (1:200 dilution, BioLegend) and 4.6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Invitrogen). Expression levels of these molecules were gated by their negative controls of unstained cells and positive controls of cells stained by each antibody. Expression levels of tdTomato were gated by negative controls of wild-type mice without tdTomato signals. Gating strategies are presented in Extended Data Fig. 10e. Based on FACS analysis, macrophages were sorted into 96-well plates (Axygen) chilled to 4 °C, prepared with lysis buffer with 1 μl 10 mM dNTP mix (Invitrogen), 1 μl 10 μM Oligo dT primer, 1.9 μl 1% Triton X-100 (Sigma) and 0.1  $\mu$ I 40 U  $\mu$ I<sup>-1</sup> RNase Inhibitor (Takara). The cell lysates were sealed and stored frozen at -80 °C immediately. Transcriptome amplifications were performed according to protocol. The External RNA Controls Consortium spike-in controls (Ambion; 1:4.000.000 dilution) were added into each well before reverse transcription. Amplified cDNA products were purified with Agencourt XP DNA beads (Beckman). Quality control (QC) was performed following the first round of purification, which included the detection of GAPDH by qPCR and fragment analysis by Fragment Analyzer (AATI). For single-cell samples of high quality after QC (cycle threshold < 30), the DNA products were further purified with 0.5× Agencourt XP DNA beads and the concentration of each sample was quantified using Qubit HsDNA kits (Invitrogen). Multiplex (384-plex) libraries were constructed and amplified using the TruePrep DNA Library Prep Kit V2 for Illumina (Vazyme Biotech). The libraries were then purified with Agencourt XP DNA beads and pooled for quality assessment by Fragment Analyzer. Purified libraries were then analyzed by an Illumina Hiseq 4000 sequencer with 150-bp paired-end reads. Fastp was used to get the clean reads. Read mapping was performed using STAR (Bulk RNA-seq, v.2.7.2a) using the mouse reference genome (mm10). Gene level quantification was completed using Subread featureCounts (bulk RNA-seq).

#### Multicolor immunohistochemistry of human tissues

Human tissue specimens, including tumor samples and ascites cell precipitation, were provided by Xinhua Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine. The specimens were collected within 30 min after the tumor resection and fixed in formalin for 48 h. Dehydration and embedding in paraffin was performed following routine methods. Paraffin blocks were cut into 5-µm slices and adhered to slide glass. Sections were then placed into a paraffin oven at 70 °C for 1 h before deparaffinization in xylene and successive rehvdration in 100%, 90%, 70% alcohol. Antigen was retrieved by citric acid buffer (pH 6.0) in a water bath at 95 °C for 20 min. Endogenous peroxidase was inactivated by incubation in 3% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 15 min. Following preincubation with 10% normal goat serum to block nonspecific sites for 30 min, sections were incubated with primary antibodies in a humidified chamber at 4 °C overnight. The antibodies used in the validation of DES<sup>+</sup> mesothelial cells in ascites cell precipitation were anti-MSLN (1:250 dilution, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-UPK3B (1:20 dilution, Abcam), anti-WT1 (1:100 dilution, Cell Signaling Technology) and anti-DES (1:2,000 dilution, Abcam). The antibodies used in the validation of two macrophage subtypes (M07\_Macro-EREG and M10\_ Macro-C1QA) in ovarian tumor tissue were anti-CD68 (1:400 dilution, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-SPP1 (1:2,000 dilution, Abcam), anti-EREG (1:100 dilution, Lifespan Biosciences), anti-IL1B (1:100 dilution, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-C1QA (1:1,000 dilution, Abcam), anti-RGS2 (1:200 dilution, Abcam) and anti-MARCO (1:200 dilution, Lifespan Biosciences). After the sections were washed with PBS twice for 5 min, the antigenic binding sites were visualized using the PhenoImager Fusion (Akoya) with the Phenochart viewer software (v.1.10) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

#### Statistics and reproducibility

No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample sizes and the experiments were not randomized. The investigators were not blinded to allocation during the experiments and outcome assessments. Data collection and analysis were not performed blinded. No data were excluded from the analyses. Statistical analyses were performed using R (v.3.6.1) and GraphPad Prism (v.9.0). One-sided or two-sided unpaired Student's *t*-tests, two-sided Wilcoxon tests, two-sided unpaired limma-moderated *t*-tests and Kruskal–Wallis tests were used to evaluate significance, as indicated in figure legends. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data distribution was assumed to be normal, but this was not formally tested.

#### **Reporting summary**

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

#### Data availability

The scRNA-seq and scTCR-seq data supporting the findings of this study have been deposited at GSA-Human under accession code PRJCA005422, with the processed data deposited in Mendeley Data (https://doi.org/10.17632/rc47y6m9mp.1)<sup>65</sup>. An interactive web portal for analysis and visualization of single-cell data is available at http://ov.cancer-pku.cn/. Bulk-RNA-seq data of mice are available from NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus under accession no. GSE223121. Previously published microarray data analyzed together are available under accession codes GSE9891 and GSE19829 to GPL8300. All other supporting data of this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. Source data are provided with this paper.

#### **Code availability**

No new algorithms were developed for this study. All codes generated for analysis are available.

#### References

- Siegel, R. L., Miller, K. D., Fuchs, H. E. & Jemal, A. Cancer statistics, 2022. CA Cancer J. Clin. **72**, 7–33 (2022).
- Lheureux, S., Braunstein, M. & Oza, A. M. Epithelial ovarian cancer: evolution of management in the era of precision medicine. CA Cancer J. Clin. 69, 280–304 (2019).
- 3. Geistlinger, L. et al. Multiomic analysis of subtype evolution and heterogeneity in high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma. *Cancer Res.* **80**, 4335–4345 (2020).
- Lheureux, S., Gourley, C., Vergote, I. & Oza, A. M. Epithelial ovarian cancer. Lancet 393, 1240–1253 (2019).
- 5. Ahmed, N. & Stenvers, K. L. Getting to know ovarian cancer ascites: opportunities for targeted therapy-based translational research. *Front. Oncol.* **3**, 256 (2013).
- 6. Pradeep, S. et al. Hematogenous metastasis of ovarian cancer: rethinking mode of spread. *Cancer Cell* **26**, 77–91 (2014).
- 7. Disis, M. L. et al. Efficacy and safety of avelumab for patients with recurrent or refractory ovarian cancer: phase 1b results from the JAVELIN solid tumor trial. *JAMA Oncol.* **5**, 393–401 (2019).
- 8. Odunsi, K. Immunotherapy in ovarian cancer. *Ann. Oncol.* **28**, viii1–viii7 (2017).
- Howitt, B. E. et al. Clear cell ovarian cancers with microsatellite instability: a unique subset of ovarian cancers with increased tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and PD-1/PD-L1 expression. Oncoimmunology 6, e1277308 (2017).
- Baslan, T. & Hicks, J. Unravelling biology and shifting paradigms in cancer with single-cell sequencing. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 17, 557–569 (2017).
- Liu, B. et al. Temporal single-cell tracing reveals clonal revival and expansion of precursor exhausted T cells during anti-PD-1 therapy in lung cancer. *Nat Cancer* 3, 108–121 (2022).
- 12. Zheng, L. et al. Pan-cancer single-cell landscape of tumor-infiltrating T cells. Science **374**, abe6474 (2021).

- 13. Hornburg, M. et al. Single-cell dissection of cellular components and interactions shaping the tumor immune phenotypes in ovarian cancer. *Cancer Cell* **39**, 928–944 (2021).
- 14. Anadon, C. M. et al. Ovarian cancer immunogenicity is governed by a narrow subset of progenitor tissue-resident memory T cells. *Cancer Cell* **40**, 545–557 (2022).
- 15. Izar, B. et al. A single-cell landscape of high-grade serous ovarian cancer. *Nat. Med.* **26**, 1271–1279 (2020).
- 16. Kenny, H. A., Nieman, K. M., Mitra, A. K. & Lengyel, E. The first line of intra-abdominal metastatic attack: breaching the mesothelial cell layer. *Cancer Discov.* **1**, 100–102 (2011).
- Naora, H. & Montell, D. J. Ovarian cancer metastasis: integrating insights from disparate model organisms. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 5, 355–366 (2005).
- Zhang, L. et al. Lineage tracking reveals dynamic relationships of T cells in colorectal cancer. *Nature* 564, 268–272 (2018).
- Chen, L. & Flies, D. B. Molecular mechanisms of T cell co-stimulation and co-inhibition. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 13, 227–242 (2013).
- 20. Wu, Y. et al. Spatiotemporal immune landscape of colorectal cancer liver metastasis at single-cell level. *Cancer Discov.* **12**, 134–153 (2022).
- 21. Chen, Z. et al. TCF-1-centered transcriptional network drives an effector versus exhausted CD8 T cell-fate decision. *Immunity* **51**, 840–855 (2019).
- 22. Bhatt, D. et al. STARTRAC analyses of scRNAseq data from tumor models reveal T cell dynamics and therapeutic targets. *J. Exp. Med.* **218**, e20201329 (2021).
- 23. Wolf, F. A. et al. PAGA: graph abstraction reconciles clustering with trajectory inference through a topology preserving map of single cells. *Genome Biol.* **20**, 59 (2019).
- 24. Setty, M. et al. Characterization of cell fate probabilities in single-cell data with Palantir. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 451–460 (2019).
- Guo, X. et al. Global characterization of T cells in non-small-cell lung cancer by single-cell sequencing. *Nat. Med.* 24, 978–985 (2018).
- 26. Maier, B. et al. A conserved dendritic-cell regulatory program limits antitumour immunity. *Nature* **580**, 257–262 (2020).
- 27. Zhang, Q. et al. Landscape and dynamics of single immune cells in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cell* **179**, 829–845 (2019).
- Zhang, L. et al. Single-cell analyses inform mechanisms of myeloid-targeted therapies in colon cancer. *Cell* 181, 442–459 (2020).
- Tait Wojno, E. D., Hunter, C. A. & Stumhofer, J. S. The immunobiology of the interleukin-12 family: room for discovery. *Immunity* 50, 851–870 (2019).
- Kvedaraite, E. & Ginhoux, F. Human dendritic cells in cancer. Sci. Immunol. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.abm9409 (2022).
- Helmy, K. Y. et al. CRIg: a macrophage complement receptor required for phagocytosis of circulating pathogens. *Cell* **124**, 915–927 (2006).
- 32. Obradovic, A. et al. Single-cell protein activity analysis identifies recurrence-associated renal tumor macrophages. *Cell* **184**, 2988–3005 (2021).
- Dongre, A. et al. Direct and indirect regulators of epithelial-mesenchymal transition-mediated immunosuppression in breast carcinomas. *Cancer Discov.* 11, 1286–1305 (2021).
- 34. Zilionis, R. et al. Single-cell transcriptomics of human and mouse lung cancers reveals conserved myeloid populations across individuals and species. *Immunity* **50**, 1317–1334 (2019).
- Kfoury, Y. et al. Human prostate cancer bone metastases have an actionable immunosuppressive microenvironment. *Cancer Cell* 39, 1464–1478 (2021).

#### Article

- Lin, C. et al. Tumour-associated macrophages-derived CXCL8 determines immune evasion through autonomous PD-L1 expression in gastric cancer. Gut 68, 1764–1773 (2019).
- Bresnick, A. R., Weber, D. J. & Zimmer, D. B. S100 proteins in cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **15**, 96–109 (2015).
- Chakarov, S. et al. Two distinct interstitial macrophage populations coexist across tissues in specific subtissular niches. *Science* 363, eaau0964 (2019).
- 39. Etzerodt, A. et al. Tissue-resident macrophages in omentum promote metastatic spread of ovarian cancer. *J. Exp. Med.* **217**, e20191869 (2020).
- N, A. G. et al. Phagocytosis imprints heterogeneity in tissue-resident macrophages. J. Exp. Med. 214, 1281–1296 (2017).
- Nalio Ramos, R. et al. Tissue-resident FOLR2(+) macrophages associate with CD8(+) T cell infiltration in human breast cancer. *Cell* 185, 1189–1207 (2022).
- 42. Liu, Z. et al. Fate mapping via Ms4a3-expression history traces monocyte-derived cells. *Cell* **178**, 1509–1525 (2019).
- Ginhoux, F. & Guilliams, M. Tissue-resident macrophage ontogeny and homeostasis. *Immunity* 44, 439–449 (2016).
- 44. Li, B., Hao, J., Zeng, J. & Sauter, E. R. SnapShot: FABP functions. *Cell* **182**, 1066–1066 (2020).
- Su, W. et al. The polycomb repressor complex 1 drives double-negative prostate cancer metastasis by coordinating stemness and immune suppression. *Cancer Cell* 36, 139–155 (2019).
- Dasari, S., Fang, Y. & Mitra, A. K. Cancer associated fibroblasts: naughty neighbors that drive ovarian cancer progression. *Cancers* 10, 406 (2018).
- Travaglini, K. J. et al. A molecular cell atlas of the human lung from single-cell RNA sequencing. *Nature* 587, 619–625 (2020).
- Patnaik, A. et al. Cabozantinib eradicates advanced murine prostate cancer by activating antitumor innate immunity. *Cancer Discov.* 7, 750–765 (2017).
- 49. Zheng, Z. et al. Lung mesenchymal stromal cells influenced by Th2 cytokines mobilize neutrophils and facilitate metastasis by producing complement C3. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 6202 (2021).
- 50. Goveia, J. et al. An Integrated gene expression landscape profiling approach to identify lung tumor endothelial cell heterogeneity and angiogenic candidates. *Cancer Cell* **37**, 421 (2020).
- De Bock, K., Georgiadou, M. & Carmeliet, P. Role of endothelial cell metabolism in vessel sprouting. *Cell Metab.* 18, 634–647 (2013).
- 52. Thiriot, A. et al. Differential DARC/ACKR1 expression distinguishes venular from non-venular endothelial cells in murine tissues. *BMC Biol.* **15**, 45 (2017).
- 53. Niehaus, C. E. et al. MAIT cells are enriched and highly functional in ascites of patients with decompensated liver cirrhosis. *Hepatology* **72**, 1378–1393 (2020).
- Godfrey, D. I., Koay, H. F., McCluskey, J. & Gherardin, N. A. The biology and functional importance of MAIT cells. *Nat. Immunol.* 20, 1110–1128 (2019).
- De Biasi, S. et al. Circulating mucosal-associated invariant T cells identify patients responding to anti-PD-1 therapy. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 1669 (2021).
- 56. Alvarez-Breckenridge, C. A. et al. NK cells impede glioblastoma virotherapy through NKp30 and NKp46 natural cytotoxicity receptors. *Nat. Med.* **18**, 1827–1834 (2012).
- Montaldo, E. et al. Human NK cells at early stages of differentiation produce CXCL8 and express CD161 molecule that functions as an activating receptor. *Blood* **119**, 3987–3996 (2012).

- Pokhriyal, R., Hariprasad, R., Kumar, L. & Hariprasad, G. Chemotherapy resistance in advanced ovarian cancer patients. *Biomark. Cancer* 11, 1179299x19860815 (2019).
- 59. Cheng, S. et al. A pan-cancer single-cell transcriptional atlas of tumor infiltrating myeloid cells. *Cell* **184**, 792–809 (2021).
- 60. Casanova-Acebes, M. et al. Tissue-resident macrophages provide a pro-tumorigenic niche to early NSCLC cells. *Nature* **595**, 578–584 (2021).
- 61. Wu, S. Z. et al. A single-cell and spatially resolved atlas of human breast cancers. *Nat. Genet.* **53**, 1334–1347 (2021).
- Dann, E., Henderson, N. C., Teichmann, S. A., Morgan, M. D. & Marioni, J. C. Differential abundance testing on single-cell data using k-nearest neighbor graphs. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **40**, 245–253 (2022).
- 63. Wolf, F. A., Angerer, P. & Theis, F. J. SCANPY: large-scale single-cell gene expression data analysis. *Genome Biol.* **19**, 15 (2018).
- Efremova, M., Vento-Tormo, M., Teichmann, S. A. & Vento-Tormo, R. CellPhoneDB: inferring cell-cell communication from combined expression of multi-subunit ligand-receptor complexes. *Nat. Protoc.* **15**, 1484–1506 (2020).
- 65. Wang, X. & Zheng, X. scRNA-seq data in ovarian cancer. *Mendeley Data*, v.1 https://doi.org/10.17632/rc47y6m9mp.1 (2022).

#### Acknowledgements

We thank all patients and their families for participating in this study. We thank S. Cheng for discussions. This project was supported by funding from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81930064 to Xipeng Wang, 91942307, 31991171 and 81988101 to Z.Z. and 32100722 to Z. Li), National Key Research and Development Plan (2022YFC2704201 to Xipeng Wang) and Beijing Municipal Science and Technology Commission (Z201100005320014 and Z211100003321005 to Z.Z.) and sponsored by the Program of Shanghai Academic/ Technology Research Leader (22XD1402000 to Xipeng Wang).

#### **Author contributions**

Xipeng Wang, Z.Z. and Z. Li conceived and designed this study. Z.H., Z. Li, Xinjing Wang and X.Z. performed bioinformatic data analysis and interpreted the results. X.L., X.C. and Z.W. collected clinical samples. Z. Liu and F.G. generated Ms4a3<sup>TdT</sup> fate-mapping mice to explore the origins of macrophages detected in OC ascites. Xinjing Wang, X.Z. and X.C. performed the experiments. Z. Li, W.G. and Y.Y. assisted with data analysis. X.Z. and Xinjing Wang wrote the original draft. Xipeng Wang, Z. Li and Z.Z. supervised the project and revised the manuscript, with all authors contributing to writing and providing feedback.

#### **Competing interests**

Z.H. is an employee of Analytical Biosciences. Z.Z. is a founder of Analytical Biosciences. All financial interests are unrelated to this study. The remaining authors declare no competing interests.

#### **Additional information**

**Extended data** is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/ s43018-023-00599-8.

**Supplementary information** The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/ s43018-023-00599-8.

**Correspondence and requests for materials** should be addressed to Ziyi Li, Zemin Zhang or Xipeng Wang.

**Peer review information** *Nature Cancer* thanks Frances Balkwill and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

**Reprints and permissions information** is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023





of HGSOC patients. Rows represent pathways and columns represent clusters. Pathways, GO, adjusted P value by Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) procedure < 0.05. **d**, Tissue distribution of detected cells in each n = 10 HGSOC patient, colored by tissues. **e**, Patient distribution (upper) and tissue distribution (lower) of each major cluster detected in n = 10 HGSOC patients, colored by clusters. For **b-e**, totally n = 31 HGSOC samples including n = 5 peripheral blood, n = 4 pelvic lymph node (PLN), n = 10 primary ovarian tumor (Pri.OT), n = 4 matched omentum metastatic tumor (Met.Ome), and n = 8 ascites samples were used for analysis.





Extended Data Fig. 2 | Clustering and characterization of malignant cells in all OC patients. a, UMAP plot showing 22 epithelial clusters identified by integrated analysis. Each dot corresponds to a single cell, colored by clusters. b, Patient distribution of each epithelial cell cluster, colored by patients. c, Heat map showing large-scale inferred copy number variations (inferCNV) for individual cells in 22 epithelial clusters (row) based on the average expression of 100 genes surrounding each chromosomal position (column), compared to non-cancer clusters as a reference. CNVs in red indicates amplifications, and blue

#### https://doi.org/10.1038/s43018-023-00599-8



d Cell Distribution in Ascites Lymphoid cells Myeloid cells Cancer cells Stromal cells HGSOC1 -HGSOC2 -HGSOC3 -HGSOC5 HGSOC6 -HGSOC8 -HGSOC9 HGSOC10 -ECO1 -OCCC1 -1.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 Percentage

InferCNV of HGSOC6



indicates deletions. **d**, Proportion of each major cluster in ascites of each patient, colored by major clusters. Malignant epithelial cells were confirmed by inferCNV, referred by Extended Data Fig. 2c. **e**, Heat map showing inferCNV for individual epithelial cells from patient HGSOC6. CNVs in red indicates amplifications, and blue indicates deletions. For **a-d**, totally n = 39 samples from patients with all ovarian tumor types were used for analysis, including n = 6 peripheral blood, n = 5 pelvic lymph node (PLN), n = 13 primary ovarian tumor (Pri.OT), n = 5 matched omentum metastatic tumor (Met.Ome), and n = 10 ascites samples.



Extended Data Fig. 3 | See next page for caption.

**Extended Data Fig. 3** | **Gene expression, tissue distribution and clonal types of T-cell clusters. a**, Heatmap showing selected highly expressed genes of 12 T cell clusters. Rows represent genes and columns represent clusters. **b**, UMAP plots showing selected marker genes of 12 T cell clusters. Each dot corresponds to a single cell. **c**, Tissue distribution of each T cell cluster, colored by different tissues (upper) and clusters (lower). **d**, Representative flow-cytometric plots, and summary data of frequency of CD25<sup>+</sup> CD127<sup>+</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells (Treg) and PD-1<sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in the microenvironment of n = 5 primary tumors, n = 5 matched omentum metastasis and n = 5 ascites samples from 5 HGSOC patients. P values were determined by paired one-sided t-test. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001. e, Violin plots showing the differentially expressed genes of two CD8<sup>+</sup> Tem clusters with distinct tissue preference. CD8-ANXA2 was enriched in tumor sites and CD8-GZMK was enriched in ascites. f, The proportion of each T cell clonotype in each T cell cluster (upper) and tissue distribution of each clonotype (lower), colored by clonotypes. g, The proportion of clonal T cells in each cluster and different tissues, each color represents a patient diagnosed with HGSOC. AS: ascites, PT: primary ovarian tumor, MT: metastatic ovarian tumor, PB: peripheral blood, LN: lymph node. For **a-c** and **e-g**, data were summarized from all n = 31 HGSOC samples.



#### Component 1

**Extended Data Fig. 4** | **CD8**<sup>+</sup> **T cell analyses based on integrated expression and TCR clonality. a**, Migration potential of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell clusters across different tissues quantified by pairwise STARTRAC-migr indices. Data were presented as mean value. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001, permutation test (exact P values were provided in source data). **b**, UMAP plot showing the developmental trajectories of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells by Palantir analysis. Each dot corresponds to a single cell, each color represents a T cell cluster. The arrow represents the direction of cell differentiation with naïve T cells as initial cluster. Data were summarized from all n = 31 HGSOC samples. **c**, pSTARTRAC-tran indices of CD8-ANXA2, CD8-CX3CR1, CD8-HAVCR2 and CD8-GZMK cells for each n = 9 HGSOC patient with matched tissue samples, depicted by dots. Center line indicates the median value, lower and upper hinges represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively, and whiskers denote 1.5× interquartile range. Ns non-significant, \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001, Kruskal–Wallis test. **d**, Diagram showing the proportions of TCR clones shared by ascites Tem (T08) and Tex cells (T10) from metastatic tumor (MT) or primary tumor (PT) which could be detected in blood or lymph node-derived T cells, related to Fig. 2h. **e**, Heatmap showing the shared TCRs between ascites-derived Tem (T08), and blood or lymph node-derived CD8\* T cells. For **a** and **c**-**e**, all n = 30 HGSOC samples except for the primary tumor sample of HGSOC7 were used for analysis. AS: ascites, PT: primary ovarian tumor, MT: omentum metastatic tumor, PB: peripheral blood, LN: pelvic lymph node.

Article



**Extended Data Fig. 5 | CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell analyses based on integrated expression and TCR clonality. a**, UMAP plot showing the developmental trajectories of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells by Palantir analysis. Each dot corresponds to a single cell, each color represents a T cell cluster. The arrow represents the direction of cell differentiation with naïve T cells as initial cluster. Data were summarized from all n = 31 HGSOC samples. **b**, pSTARTRAC-tran indices of CD4-ANXA1, CD4-CX3CR1 and CD4-CXCL13 cells for each n = 9 HGSOC patient with matched tissue samples, depicted by dots. Center line indicates the median value, lower and upper hinges represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively, and whiskers denote

 $1.5 \times$  interquartile range. Ns non-significant, \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001, Kruskal–Wallis test. **c**, The distribution of clonal clonotypes in indicated CD4<sup>+</sup> T subsets (T02, T03 and T05), related to Fig. 3c. **d**, Venn diagram showing the quantification of shared TCR between indicated CD4<sup>+</sup> T subsets referred as in Extended Data Fig. 5c. **e**, Heatmap showing TCR sharing patterns between Th1-like (T05) and Tcm (T02) in different tissues, including ascites, Pri.OT (primary tumor) and Met.Ome (omentum metastasis). For **b-e**, all n = 30 HGSOC samples except for the primary tumor sample of HGSOC7 were used for analysis.

Article



Extended Data Fig. 6 | Clustering and characterization of myeloid cells, especially DC. a, Heatmap showing expression levels of cell maturation and immunoregulatory genes in 4 DC clusters. Rows represent clusters and columns represent genes. b, Tissue distribution of each myeloid cluster, colored by different tissues. c, Proportion of each myeloid cluster in all myeloid cells in ascites, colored by clusters. All n = 8 ascites samples from 10 HGSOC patients were analyzed. d, All-by-all heat map showing different gene expression in myeloid cells from datasets of our study (OV) and that of HCC and CRC (excluding all proliferative subsets). Clustered by similarities between myeloid subsets. Rows represent clusters and columns represent genes. N = 3 tumor types were used for analysis. **e**, Heatmap showing expression levels of top 20 differentially expressed genes of M01 and M02 in all three DC clusters (left), and correlation of DC-LAMP3 (M03) with DC-CD1C (M01) and DC-CLEC9A (M02) calculated using these genes (right). Genes, P value < 0.05, Two-sided Wilcoxon test adjusted by Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) procedure; log2(fold change) > 0.5. Correlation was analyzed using a Pearson correlation coefficient. For **a-b** and **e**, all n = 31 HGSOC samples were used for analysis.



Extended Data Fig. 7 | See next page for caption.

Extended Data Fig. 7 | Different functions and ontogeny of macrophages enriched in tumors and ascites. a, Dot plot showing the mean interaction strength for selected ligand-receptor pairs among tumor-enriched macrophages and T cells in ascites. Dot size indicates percentage of ligand/ receptor expression in cells of one cluster, colored by average expression levels. b, Expression levels of signature genes of monocyte-derived macrophages in 7 macrophage clusters. Center line indicates the median value, lower and upper hinges represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively, and whiskers denote 1.5× interquartile range. c, Quantification of Tdtomato<sup>-</sup>or Tdtomato<sup>+</sup> macrophages as a percentage of total CD11b<sup>+</sup> F4/80<sup>+</sup> macrophages using mouse ascites samples. d, Representative flow-cytometric plots showing frequency of Tdtomato<sup>-</sup> or Tdtomato<sup>+</sup> cells in CD163<sup>+</sup> TIM4<sup>+</sup> RTMs using mouse ascites samples, e, Representative flow-cytometric plots, and summary of frequency of CD163<sup>+</sup> or TIM4<sup>+</sup> cells in Tdtomato<sup>-</sup>macrophages using mouse ascites samples. f, Representative examples of ovarian tumor stained by multicolored IHC (left) and the quantification plots (right). The upper panel indicates M07, and lower M10. Original magnification, 20x; scale bar, 50um. N = 3 individual patient

tumors were examined independently per staining analysis. g, Differentially expressed genes (left) and differentially activated pathways (right) between tissue-resident macrophages (M09 and M14) versus monocyte-derived macrophages (MO8 and M11) in ascites. h, Heatmap showing expression levels of indicated genes in macrophages of mouse ascites using samples from n = 4 mice. AeEM: ascites-enriched embryonic macrophage; AeMM: ascites-enriched monocyte-derived macrophage. i, Differentially expressed genes (left) and differentially activated pathways (right) between tissue-resident macrophages enriched in tumors (M10) versus RTMs enriched in ascites (M09 and M14). (c and e): Center line indicates the median value, lower and upper hinges represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively, and whiskers indicates min to max. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001, unpaired two-sided t-test. (g and i): Genes. P value < 0.05. two-sided Wilcoxon test adjusted by Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) procedure; log2(fold change) > 0.5. Pathways, GO, adjusted P value by Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) procedure < 0.05. For **a-b**, **g** and **i**, all n = 31 HGSOC samples were used for analysis. For c-e, n = 4 independent experiments using 4 mouse ascites samples were used for analysis.



expressions of mesothelial cells in ascites. a, UMAP plots showing expression levels of cluster-specific marker genes in stromal cells. b-c, Tissue distribution of each stromal cell cluster, colored by tissues (b) and clusters (c). Stromal cells were un-detectable in blood. d, Violin plots showing the expression levels of adhesion-associated genes in 3 mesothelial cell clusters derived from tumor sites. Totally n = 14 HGSOC tumor samples, including n = 10 primary tumor and

n = 4 omentum metastasis samples were analyzed. **e**, Heatmap showing the expression levels of chemokines and HLA-related genes in 4 stromal cell clusters detected in ascites. Rows represent clusters and columns represent genes. All n = 8 ascites samples from HGSOC patients were used for analysis. **f**, UMAP plots showing the similarity of ascites-derived cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAF) reported by Aviv (left) and stromal cells enriched in ascites in our dataset (right). For **a-c**, all n = 31 HGSOC samples were used for analysis.



Extended Data Fig. 9 | Distribution and gene expressions of endothelial cells as well as association of E02, E06 clusters with HGSOC prognosis. a, Tissue distribution of each endothelial cell cluster identified in HGSOC patients, colored by different tissues (upper) and clusters (lower). b, Heatmap showing the expression levels of indicated marker genes of tip-like endothelial cell in 8 endothelial cell clusters. Rows represent clusters and columns represent genes. c, Heatmap showing the expression levels of genes involved in MHC-II antigen presentation in 8 endothelial cell clusters. Rows represent clusters and columns represent genes. d, The Kaplan–Meier overall survival curves of HGSOC patients grouped by the gene signature expression of *VCAMI*<sup>+</sup> ENDO

cells (E06). TCGA OV data. HR, hazard ratio. Multivariate Cox regression. **e**, The Kaplan–Meier overall survival curves of HGSOC patients grouped by the gene signature expression of *IL13RA1*<sup>+</sup> ENDO cells (E02). TCGA OV data. HR, hazard ratio. Multivariate Cox regression. **f**, The Kaplan–Meier overall survival curves of HGSOC patients grouped by the gene signature expression of *VCAM1*<sup>+</sup> ENDO cells (E06). Microarray GSE9891 and GSE19829-GPL8300. HR, hazard ratio. Multivariate Cox regression. Statistical significance (P value) was determined by Kaplan–Meier survival curves and log-rank test (**d-f**). For **a-c**, all n = 31 HGSOC samples were used for analysis.





strategies for macrophages in ascites of tumor-bearing mice used for proportion analysis of macrophages with different origins (**d**) and sorting out embryonicorigin and monocyte-derived macrophages for bulk RNA-seq (**e**).

# nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s): Xipeng Wang, Zemin Zhang, Ziyi Li

Last updated by author(s): Apr 26, 2023

# **Reporting Summary**

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

#### Statistics

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	firmed
	$\boxtimes$	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	$\boxtimes$	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
		The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
$\boxtimes$		A description of all covariates tested
	$\boxtimes$	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
		A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
		For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i> ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable</i> .
$\boxtimes$		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
$\boxtimes$		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
$\boxtimes$		Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

#### Software and code

 

 Policy information about availability of computer code

 Data collection
 Cell Ranger for 10X Genomics (version 3.0.2), SpectroFlo (version 3.0.0) were used for data collection.

 Data analysis
 The following software was used in this study: R (v 3.6.1), GraphPad Prism (v 9.0), python (v3.6.13), Seurat (v3.1.4), Harmony (v1.0), Startrac (v 0.1.0), Scanpy (v1.7.2), cellphoneDB (v3.0.0), rstatix (v 0.7.0), clusterProfiler (v 3.14.3), STAR(v 2.7.2a), miloR (v 1.5.0), inferCNV (v1.2.1), Survival (v 3.2.3), FlowJo (v 10.6.2), and PhenochartTM viewer software (v 1.10).

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

#### Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

ScRNA-seq and scTCR-seq data that support the findings of this study have been deposited in the GSA for Human of China National Center for Bioinformation (CNCB)

nature portfolio | reporting summary

under accession codes PRJCA005422, with the processed data deposited in Mendeley Data (DOI:10.17632/rc47y6m9mp.1). An interactive website for analyzing and visualizing the scRNA-seq data is available at http://ov.cancer-pku.cn/. Furthermore, cellphoneDB database v2.0.0 was used for ligand-receptor interaction analysis and V(D)J Reference "GRCh38-alts-ensembl" was used for TCR analysis in our study. Bulk-RNA-seq data of mice are available from NCBI-GEO under the accession number GSE223121. Previously published microarray data analyzed together were available under accession code GSE9891, and GSE19829-GPL8300. Besides, TCGA (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/) OV data were also used to analyze the overall survival of HGSOC patients divided by signatures of certain endothelial cells in our study. Other data supporting the findings in this study are available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request.

#### Human research participants

Policy information about studies involving human research participants and Sex and Gender in Research.

Reporting on sex and gender	The findings of our study about ovarian cancer only apply to females.
Population characteristics	Fourteen female patients pathologically diagnosed with ovarian cancer including ten high grade serous ovarian cancer (HGSOC; patients HGSOC1-10), one endometrioid carcinoma of the ovary (ECO; patient ECO1), one ovarian clear cell carcinoma (OCCC; patient OCCC1), one undifferentiated ovarian carcinoma (UOC; patient UOC1), and one ovarian carcinosarcoma (patient C1) were enrolled in this study. The patients HGSOC3, HGSOC6, HGSOC7 and ECO1 were platinum resistant (non-responsive), whereas the other patients but one patient diagnosed as UOC1 were platinum sensitive (responsive). Patients ranged in age from 43 to 82 years old, with a median age of 62 years. Five more patients pathologically diagnosed with HGSOC (patients HGSOC11-15) were enrolled in this study for flow cytometry analyses of T cells. The available clinical metadata of these patients are summarized in Supplementary Table 1.
Recruitment	Ovarian cancer patients in Xinhua Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine and Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center preparing for surgery were consented to collect bio-specimens during surgery. Patients who were diagnosed with ovarian cancer and agreed to sign the consent file were recruited in our study without any self-selection.
Ethics oversight	This study complies with all relevant ethical regulations and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Xinhua Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine and Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center. Written informed consent was provided by all participants.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

# Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

🛛 Life sciences 🔹 📄 Behavioural & social sciences 👘 Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>

# Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size	No statistical methods were used to pre-determine the sample size. Sample size were determined based on published papers and previous experience, and described in the figure legends or Methods. Since samples used for scRNA-seq were human subjects, we collected as many samples as possible within our timeframe. For other studies in our project, a sample size of $n > 3$ would allow for adequate analysis to reach meaningful conclusions of data.
Data exclusions	No data was excluded from the analyses.
Replication	The single-cell sequencing for each tumour sample was performed in one experimental run since the cell counts from a given sample are usually low, and the cells cannot be analyzed more than once. Totally n=13 primary tumour samples, n=5 metastatic tumour samples, n=10 ascites samples, n=6 blood samples and n=5 lymph node samples were collected for scRNA-seq successfully. The flow cytometry experiments for human T cells were performed in one experimental run for each patient. But there were more than one patient (n=5) enrolled in this study to verify the reproducibility and all experiments were done successfully. The flow cytometry experiments of mouse ascites were also performed in one experimental run for the limited number of cells captured from ascites in one mouse. But there were more than one mouse ascites sample (n=4) analyzed successfully in this study to verify the reproducibility. And bulk RNA-seq analysis of ascites-derived macrophages using mouse models were successfully performed in 4 independent experimental runs. The IHC experiments for each tumour/ascites cell pellet sample were performed in one experimental run successfully, with n=3 tumour samples to verify the reproducibility.
Randomization	This study relied on the use of human biospecimens with no interventions performed on the human subjects. Randomization was not applicable. For in vivo mouse experiments, randomization was not required because all mice belonged to one experimental group and were seeded with tumour cells equally.
Blinding	The diagnosis of tumour was known when we obtaining the biosamples. There is no intervention to patients recruited, and it's not a clinical trail. Blinding is not applicable. For remaining experiments in our study, no blinding was used.

# Behavioural & social sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Study description	Not applicable.
Research sample	Not applicable.
Sampling strategy	Not applicable.
Data collection	Not applicable.
Timing	Not applicable.
Data exclusions	Not applicable.
Non-participation	Not applicable.
Randomization	Not applicable.

## Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Study description	Not applicable.	
Research sample	Not applicable.	
Sampling strategy	Not applicable.	
Data collection	Not applicable.	
Timing and spatial scale	Not applicable.	
Data exclusions	Not applicable.	
Reproducibility	Not applicable.	
Randomization	Not applicable.	
Blinding	Not applicable.	
Did the study involve field work? Yes Xo		

#### Field work, collection and transport

Field conditions	Not applicable.
Location	Not applicable.
Access & import/export	Not applicable.
Disturbance	Not applicable.

# Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

#### Materials & experimental systems

Methods

ChIP-seq

n/a Involved in the study

Flow cytometry

MRI-based neuroimaging

- Palaeontology and archaeology
- Animals and other organisms
- Clinical data
- Dual use research of concern

#### Antibodies

Antibody (Flow cytometry)
For human samples CD45 PerCP (Cat# MHCD4531, Lot# 2109773, Clone HI30, Invitrogen); dilution 1:200
CD3 BV570 (Cat# 300436, Lot# B334945, Clone UCHT1, Biolegend); dilution 1:100
CD8 PerCP-iF710 (Cat# 210823-12, Lot# 2750931, Clone SK1, Yuanqi); dilution 1:100
CD25 BV480 (Cat# 566102, Lot# 1195023, Clone M-A251, BD Biosciences); dilution 1:100
PD-1 SB702 (Cat# 67-2799-42, Lot# 2378852, Clone J105, Invitrogen); dilution 1:100
For mouse samples CD45 BV510 (Cat# 563891 Lot# 9344071 Clone 30-E11 BD Biosciences): dilution 1:200
Ly-6G BV786 (Cat# 740953, Lot# 1348402, Clone 1A8, BD Biosciences); dilution 1:200
CD11b PerCP-Cy5.5 (Cat# 550993, Lot# 1033685, Clone M1/70, BD Biosciences); dilution 1:200 F4/80 BV650 (Cat# 123149, Lot# B345158, Clone BM8, BioLegend); dilution 1:200
TIM4 BV421 (Cat#742773, Lot# 1319758, Clone 21H12, BD Biosciences); dilution 1:200
CD163 PE-CY7 (Cat#155319, Lot# B349540, Clone 515049, BioLegend); dilution 1:200
Antibody (FACS) for mouse samples
Ly6G FITC (Cat# 127606, Lot# 1236494, Clone 1A8, BioLegend); dilution 1:200
Siglec F PE/Cyanine7 (Cat# 25-1702-82, Lot# 2802251, Clone 1RNM44N, Invitrogen); dilution 1:200
CD11b BV650 (Cat# 101259, Lot# 2566568, Clone M1/70, BioLegend); dilution 1:200
F4/80 Alexa Fluor 647 (Cat# 123122, Lot# 893480, Clone BM8, BioLegend); dilution 1:200
Antibody (multicolour IHC)
Rabbit anti-WSLN (Cat# 999667, Cot# 1, Clohe D9R36, Cell Signaling Technology); and ton 1.250 Rabbit anti-UPK3B (Cat# ab197368, Lot# GR282628-9, Polyclonal, Abcam); dilution 1:20
Rabbit anti-WT1 (Cat# 83535T, Lot# 1, Clone D8I7F, Cell Signaling Technology); dilution 1:100 Rabbit anti-DES (Cat# ab32362, Lot# GR152193-50, Clone Y66, Abcam); dilution 1:2000
Rabbit anti-CD68 (Cat# 76437T, Lot# 4, Clone D4B9C, Cell Signaling Technology); dilution 1:400
Rabbit anti-SPP1 (Cat# ab283656, Lot# GR3400643-6, Clone RM1018, Abcam); dilution 1:2000 Rabbit anti-EREG (Cat# LS-C778687, Lot# 210021, Polyclonal, Lifespan Biosciences): dilution 1:100
Mouse anti-IL1B (Cat# 12242S, Lot# 1, Clone 3A6, Cell Signaling Technology); dilution 1:100
Rabbit anti-C1QA (Cat# ab189922, Lot# GR1/3398-1, Clone EPR14634, Abcam); dilution 1:1000 Rabbit anti-RGS2 (Cat# ab155762, Lot# GR135813-20, PolyClonal, Abcam); dilution 1:200
Rabbit anti-MARCO (Cat# LS-C676024, Lot# 186303, Polyclonal, Lifespan Biosciences); dilution 1:200
All antibodies were commercially available and validated by manufacturer. Based on the information from the manufacturer's
website, the validation information for species and application is listed below. And all antibodies were validated based on the manufacturer's instructions using mouse ascites (for FACS antibodies)/human ovarian tumour samples(for multicolour IHC antibodies).
Antibodies (Flow cytometry)
CD45 PerCP (Cat# MHCD4531, Invitrogen) https://www.thermofisher.cn/cn/zh/antibody/product/CD45-Antibody-clone-HI30-
Monoclonal/MHCD4531 CD3.BV570 (Cat# 300436 Biolegend) https://www.biolegend.com/en-us/products/brilliant-violet-570-anti-human-cd3-antibody-7368
CD4 SFV430/780 (Cat# 20210513-16, Yuanqi)
CD8 PerCP-iF/10 (Cat# 210823-12, Yuanqi) CD25 BV480 (Cat# 566102, BD Biosciences) https://www.bdbiosciences.com/en-us/search-results?searchKey=566102
CD127 PE-Cy5 (Cat# 351324, Biolegend) https://www.biolegend.com/en-us/products/pe-cyanine5-anti-human-cd127-il-7ralpha-
PD-1 SB702 (Cat# 67-2799-42, Invitrogen) https://www.thermofisher.cn/cn/zh/antibody/product/CD56-NCAM-Antibody-clone-
TULY56-Monoclonal/67-0566-42 For mouse samples
CD45 BV510 (Cat# 563891, BD Biosciences) https://www.bdbiosciences.com/zh-cn/search-results?searchKey=563891
LY-66 BV /86 (Cat# /40953, BD Biosciences) https://www.bdbiosciences.com/zh-cn/search-results?searchKey=740953 CD11b PerCP-Cy5.5 (Cat# 550993, BD Biosciences) https://www.bdbiosciences.com/zh-cn/search-results?searchKev=550993
F4/80 BV650 (Cat# 123149, BioLegend) https://www.biolegend.com/en-us/products/brilliant-violet-650-anti-mouse-f4-80- antibadu-10630

# nature portfolio | reporting summary

. TIM4 BV421 (no. BD742773) https://www.bdbiosciences.com/en-us/products/reagents/flow-cytometry-reagents/research-reagents/ single-color-antibodies-ruo/bv421-rat-anti-mouse-tim-4.742773 CD163 PE-CY7 (no. BL155319) https://www.biolegend.com/en-us/products/pe-cyanine7-anti-mouse-cd163-antibody-20615

Antibody (FACS) for mouse samples

CD45 APC/Cyanine7 (Cat# 557659, BD Biosciences) https://www.bdbiosciences.com/zh-cn/products/reagents/flow-cytometry-reagents/research-reagents/single-color-antibodies-ruo/apc-cy-7-rat-anti-mouse-cd45.557659

Ly6G FITC (Cat# 127606, BioLegend) https://www.biolegend.com/en-us/products/fitc-anti-mouse-ly-6g-antibody-4775 Siglec F PE/Cyanine7 (Cat# 25-1702-82, Invitrogen) https://www.thermofisher.cn/cn/zh/antibody/product/CD170-Siglec-F-Antibodyclone-1RNM44N-Monoclonal/25-1702-82 Ly6C BV785 (Cat# 128041, BioLegend) https://www.biolegend.com/en-us/products/brilliant-violet-785-anti-mouse-ly-6cantibody-11982

CD11b BV650 (Cat# 101259, BioLegend) https://www.biolegend.com/en-us/products/brilliant-violet-650-anti-mouse-human-cd11b-antibody-7638

F4/80 Alexa Fluor 647 (Cat# 123122, BioLegend) https://www.biolegend.com/en-us/products/alexa-fluor-647-anti-mouse-f4-80-antibody-4074

Antibodise for multicolour IHC

Rabbit anti-MSLN (1:250, Cat# 99966T, Cell Signaling Technology) React with: Human; Suitable for: WB, IHC Rabbit anti-UPK3B (1:20, Cat# ab197368, Abcam) React with: Human; Suitable for: WB, IHC-P Rabbit anti-WT1 (1:100, Cat# 83535T, Cell Signaling Technology) React with: Human; Suitable for: WB, IP, IHC, IF Rabbit anti-DES (1:2000, Cat# ab32362, Abcam) React with: Mouse, Rat, Guinea pig, Human; Suitable for: WB, IHC-P, ICC Rabbit anti-CD68 (1:400, Cat# 76437T, Cell Signaling Technology) React with: Human; Suitable for: IHC, IF, F Rabbit anti-SPP1 (1:2000, Cat# ab283656, Abcam) React with: Mouse, Human, Recombinant fragment; Suitable for: IHC-P, WB, IP Rabbit anti-EREG (1:100, Cat# LS-C778687, Lifespan Biosciences) React with: Mouse, Human, Rat; Suitable for: IHC-P, WB, IP Mouse anti-IL1B (1:100, Cat# 122425, Cell Signaling Technology) React with: Human; Suitable for: WB, IHC Rabbit anti-C1QA (1:1000, Cat# ab189922, Abcam) React with: Rat, Human; Suitable for: IHC-P, ELISA Rabbit anti-RGS2 (1:200, Cat# ab155762, Abcam) React with: Mouse, Rat, Human; Suitable for: IHC-P, WB, ICC/IF Rabbit anti-MARCO (1:200, Cat# LS-C676024, Lifespan Biosciences) React with: Human; Suitable for: IHC-P, WB, ELISA

#### Eukaryotic cell lines

#### Policy information about cell lines and Sex and Gender in Research

Cell line source(s)	ID8 is a mouse ovarian surface epithelial cell line usually used to establish the mouse model for human ovarian cancer. The cell line used in the study was purchased from FuHeng Biology, Shanghai, China.
Authentication	The cell lines used in the study was authenticated with STR profiling.
Mycoplasma contamination	The cell line used in the study was confirmed to be mycoplasma nagative.
Commonly misidentified lines (See <u>ICLAC</u> register)	No any commonly misidentified cell lines were used in the study.

#### Palaeontology and Archaeology

Specimen provenance	Not applicable.	
Specimen deposition	Not applicable.	
Dating methods	Not applicable.	
Tick this box to confirm that the raw and calibrated dates are available in the paper or in Supplementary Information.		
Ethics oversight	Not applicable.	

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

#### Animals and other research organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; <u>ARRIVE guidelines</u> recommended for reporting animal research, and <u>Sex and Gender in</u> <u>Research</u>

Laboratory animals	Strains used in this study: C57BL/6(Ms4a3 and Rosatomato transgenic monocyte fate-mapping mouse), female, mouse, 4-5weeks old.
Wild animals	We have not used any wild animals in this study.
Reporting on sex	The findings of our study about ovarian cancer only apply to females. The mice used in our experiments were all females judged by the distance between the external genitalia and the anus which is shorter in females than in males at all postnatal stages.

Field-collected samples	No field-collected samples used.
Ethics oversight	All the procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Model Animal Research Center, Xinhua Hospital, Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine and were performed in compliance with the guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals. The maximal tumour burden was not exceeded for mouse tumour experiments on the requirement of our ethics committee.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

#### Clinical data

#### Policy information about <u>clinical studies</u>

All manuscripts should comply with the ICMJE guidelines for publication of clinical research and a completed CONSORT checklist must be included with all submissions.

Clinical trial registration	Not applicable.
Study protocol	Not applicable.
Data collection	Not applicable.
Outcomes	Not applicable.

#### Dual use research of concern

Policy information about dual use research of concern

#### Hazards

ī

Could the accidental, deliberate or reckless misuse of agents or technologies generated in the work, or the application of information presented in the manuscript, pose a threat to:

No	Yes
$\boxtimes$	Public health
$\boxtimes$	National security
$\boxtimes$	Crops and/or livestock
$\boxtimes$	Ecosystems
$\boxtimes$	Any other significant area

#### Experiments of concern

Does the work involve any of these experiments of concern:

No Yes	No
Demonstrate how to render a vaccine ineffective	$\boxtimes$
Confer resistance to therapeutically useful antibiotics or antiviral agents	$\boxtimes$
Enhance the virulence of a pathogen or render a nonpathogen virulent	$\boxtimes$
Increase transmissibility of a pathogen	$\boxtimes$
Alter the host range of a pathogen	$\boxtimes$
Enable evasion of diagnostic/detection modalities	$\boxtimes$
Enable the weaponization of a biological agent or toxin	$\boxtimes$
Any other potentially harmful combination of experiments and agents	$\boxtimes$

#### ChIP-seq

#### Data deposition

Confirm that both raw and final processed data have been deposited in a public database such as GEO.

Confirm that you have deposited or provided access to graph files (e.g. BED files) for the called peaks.

#### Data access links

Not applicable. May remain private before publication.

Not applicable.

Files in database submission

Genome	browser	session
(e.g. <u>UCSC</u> )		

Not applicable.

#### Methodology

0,	
Replicates	Not applicable.
Sequencing depth	Not applicable.
Antibodies	Not applicable.
Peak calling parameters	Not applicable.
Data quality	Not applicable.
Software	Not applicable.

#### Flow Cytometry

#### Plots

Confirm that:

 $\square$  The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).

The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).

 $\square$  All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.

A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

#### Methodology

Sample preparation	Standard flow cytometry protocal was used in this study. Human samples were collected at the time of operation. Fresh human tumour samples were cut into pieces and enzymatically digested with the MACS Tumour Dissociation Kit (Miltenyi). The pelleted cells after centrifugation were then resuspended in red blood cell lysis buffer (Miltenyi) to lyse red blood cells. Human ascites samples were collected in 50-ml conical tubes (BD). Mouse ascites were collected by syringe extraction from terminally anaesthetized mice. Red blood cell lysis buffer (Miltenyi) was used to remove the interference of red cells in ascites samples. After washing with PBS (Invitrogen), the cell pellets were resuspended in FACs buffer, keep on ice until staining. Detailed information is available in the Methods section.
Instrument	Cytek Aurora flow cytometer (Cytek Biosciences) and BD Aria III.
Software	SpectroFlo (version 3.0.0) used for data collection. FlowJo (version10.6.2) used for data analysis.
Cell population abundance	Around 20,000-100,000 cells in each experiment was achieved. Purity was determined by flow cytometer during and after the sort.
Gating strategy	To analyze the proportion of certain T subtypes in tumours and ascites of HGSOC patients, cells were gated as follows: 1. First gated based on physical parameters SSC-A/FSC-A. 2. FSC-H/FSC-A to identify single cells from doublets/complexes. 3. CD45+ for general immune cells. 4. CD3+ for T cells. 5. CD4+ CD8- for CD4+ T cells; CD8+ CD4- for CD8+ T cells. To validate the proportion of embronic-origin macrophages in ovarian tumour ascites using monocyte fate-mapping mouse, cells were gated as follows: 1. First gated based on physical parameters SSC-H/FSC-H. 2. FSC-H/FSC-A to identify single cells from doublets/complexes. 3. 7-ADD for live cells. 4. CD45+ for general immune cells. 5. LyG6- to remove interference from neutrophils. 6. F4/80+ and CD11b+ for macrophages and TdTomato- represents macrophages of embrynic origin. To sort out embronic-origin and monocyte-derived macrophages in ovarian tumour ascites using monocyte fate-mapping mouse, cells were gated as follows: 1. First gated based on physical parameters SSC-A/FSC-A. 2. FSC-H/FSC-A to identify single cells from doublets/complexes. 3. 7-ADD for live cells. 4. CD45+ for general immune cells. 5. LyG6- to remove interference from neutrophils. 6. F4/80+ and CD11b+ for macrophages. 7. TdTomato+ for monocytes derived macrophages and TdTomato- represents macrophages of embrynic origin. To sort out embronic-origin and monocyte-derived macrophages in ovarian tumour ascites using monocyte fate-mapping mouse, cells were gated as follows: 1. First gated based on physical parameters SSC-A/FSC-A. 2. FSC-H/FSC-A to identify single cells from doublets/complexes. 3. CD45+ DAPI- for live immune cells. 4. Ly66- to remove interference from neutrophils. 5. SiglecF- to remove interference from neutrophils.

(	6. Ly-6C-, F4/80+ and CD11b+ for macrophages.
ŀ	7. TdTomato+ for monocytes derived macrophages and TdTomato- represents macrophages of embrynic origin.

Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.

### Magnetic resonance imaging

Experimental design		
Design type	Not applicable.	
Design specifications	Not applicable.	
Behavioral performance measures	Not applicable.	
Acquisition		
Imaging type(s)	Not applicable.	
Field strength	Not applicable.	
Sequence & imaging parameters	Not applicable.	
Area of acquisition	Not applicable.	
Diffusion MRI Used	⊠ Not used	

#### Preprocessing

Preprocessing software	Not applicable.
Normalization	Not applicable.
Normalization template	Not applicable.
Noise and artifact removal	Not applicable.
Volume censoring	Not applicable.

#### Statistical modeling & inference

Model type and settings	Not applicable.	
Effect(s) tested	Not applicable.	
Specify type of analysis: 🗌 W	hole brain 🗌 ROI-based 🔲 Both	
Statistic type for inference (See <u>Eklund et al. 2016</u> )	Not applicable.	
Correction	Not applicable.	

#### Models & analysis

n/a	Involved in the study		
$\boxtimes$	Functional and/or effective connectivity		
$\boxtimes$	Graph analysis		
$\boxtimes$	Multivariate modeling or predictive analysis		
Functional and/or effective connectivity		Not applicable.	
Graph analysis		Not applicable.	
Multivariate modeling and predictive analysis		Not applicable.	